CITY OF ADELAIDE DRAFT COMMUNITY LAND MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR ADELAIDE PARK LANDS

Consultation Summary Report

October 2023



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF COUNTRY

The City of Adelaide acknowledges that we are located on the traditional Country of the Kaurna people of the Adelaide Plains and pays respect to Elders past, present and emerging.

We recognise and respect their cultural heritage, beliefs and relationship with the land. We also extend that respect to visitors of other Aboriginal Language Groups and other First Nations.

DOCUMENT PROPERTIES

Contact for enquiries and proposed changes

If you have any questions regarding this document or if you have a suggestion for improvements, please contact:

Contact Officer: Jared Wilson

Title: Team Leader, Park Lands Planning
Program: Park Lands, Policy and Sustainability

Phone: (08) 8203 7199

Email: j.wilson@cityofadelaide.com.au

Record Details

HPRM Reference: HPRM Container:

Version History

Version	Revision Date	Revised By	Revision Description
1	9/08/2023	TR	Draft
2	27/09/2023	TR	Amendments based on Committee feedback and Deputations

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary	4
Background	
Consultation Objectives	
Engagement Approach	
Who We Heard From	
What We Heard	8
Key Feedback Themes	10
New Adelaide Aquatic Centre Lease Consultation	10
Administration Response	10
Implementation of Draft CLMP	
Appendix A - Consultation Summary Tables	12
Appendix B – Response to individual Community submission (1)	
Appendix C – Response to Deputations	

Executive Summary

This report summarises the submissions and findings from consultation on the City of Adelaide's (Council) Draft Community Land Management Plan (CLMP) for the Adelaide Park Lands (Park Lands).

Consultation on the Draft CLMP commenced on **7 July 2023** and concluded on **31 July 2023**.

This report outlines the engagement process Council undertook to consult with stakeholders and the community and to meet statutory consultation requirements under the *Local Government Act 1999* (LG Act) and *Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005* (APL Act).

The consultation findings have outlined general support from the State and Local Government stakeholders for the Draft CLMP for the Park Lands with minor refinements suggested.

The feedback from the community outlined a strong desire for the Park Lands to be publicly accessible, with less built form and development and an increase of open spaces focused on the natural environment and biodiversity.

Community feedback also outlined that commercialisation, privatisation and use of the Park Lands for major development should be stopped.

Community feedback noted Council has changed its approach to the Draft CLMP – as the existing CLMP's contain information that exceeds the requirements of the LG Act and APL Act. Council has responded to these concerns in Appendix A and Appendix B with refinements also being proposed to address improvements.

Respondents to the consultation process were invited to make a deputation to a Special Meeting of the City Planning, Development and Business Affairs Committee on Thursday 21 September 2023, which was adjourned to Tuesday 26 September 2023, to be heard in relation to their submission. Key points from the deputations are summarised in Appendix C.

Feedback has assisted Council in the development of the Draft CLMP and provided Council with insights into how stakeholders believe the Park Lands should be used and managed.

Background

Under the LG Act, the City of Adelaide's, "community land" is all local government land (except roads) that is under the care, control and management of Council, including the Park Lands.

Council is required under Section 196 of the LG Act and Section 19 of the APL Act to prepare and adopt a management plan for its community land, the Park Lands.

CLMP's are plans created by Council, in consultation with other land managers and the community, to provide guidance and direction with respect to the care, management and use of the Park Lands for the enjoyment and benefit of residents and visitors to the City of Adelaide.

The CLMP is not an aspirational strategy that plans for the future, this is the role of the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy.

Council's existing CLMPs were predominantly adopted in 2013 and consisted of individual chapters for each park within the Park Lands. As part of the Council's statutory requirements of reviewing its CLMP's, Council has made the decision to consolidate its CLMP's into a single CLMP.

Consultation Objectives

The LG Act and the APL Act outline the statutory requirements of the CLMP including the consultation requirements.

Local Government Act 1999

Section 197 of the LG Act requires Councils to undertake the following before adopting a management plan for community land, it must—

- (a) make copies of the proposed plan available for inspection or purchase at the council's principal office; and
- (b) follow the relevant steps set out in its public consultation policy.

Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005

Section 19 of the APL Act outlines the statutory requirements of the CLMP including the consultation requirements. The Council must, before it releases its proposed management plan, or a proposed amendment to its management plan, for public consultation consult on a draft of its proposal with—

- (a) the Minister for Planning; and
- (b) the Authority (Kadaltilla / Adelaide Park Lands Authority); and
- (c) any State authority or adjoining council that has a direct interest in the matter.

City of Adelaide Consultation Policy

Council must also follow the steps outlined in its Community Consultation Policy. The policy requires Council to;

- Provide public notice of the options for consideration.
- Provide information on Council's corporate website.
- Ensure that any documents that are legislatively required to be freely available for inspection are on public display and print versions are available to purchase for a fixed fee in the customer centre; and freely available for view and/or purchase for a fixed fee in the City's libraries and community centres as required.
- Notice published in a local newspaper circulating in the Council.
- Provide a minimum of 21 days for people to make submissions to Council (unless stated).
- Receipt of submissions by Council.
- Submissions to be considered by Council in decision-making.
- Inform public of outcome.

Engagement Approach

To ensure stakeholders and the community were informed about Council's consultation on the CLMP and that the process was transparent, accessible and targeted, a range of communication tools and techniques were used to promote the Draft CLMP and seek feedback.

The engagement methods used are outlined below.

Consultation with Kaurna Yerta Aboriginal Corporation

As the traditional owners of the Adelaide Plains, Council's consults with Kaurna Yerta Aboriginal Corporation (KYAC) in relation to the use and management of the Park Lands.

Administration met with KYAC on 28 June 2023 and reviewed:

- The purpose of Community Land Management Plans and their legislative requirements
- The content of the draft CLMP
- The relationship with other Council strategic documents including Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy and Reconciliation Action Plan
- Specific review of sections of the CLMP referring to cultural significance and cultural practices
 - 4.3: Kaurna cultural significance (p4)
 - 4.4: Cultural heritage significance (p4)
 - 8.4.3: Aboriginal cultural uses (p9)

KYAC supported consultation on the Draft CLMP and requested a further workshop with additional Board Members to improve the content listed above and ensure Native Title Determination descriptions are replicated for clarity.

Correspondence was sent to South Australian Native Title Services on 10 July 2023 inviting KYAC to a workshop on the Draft CLMP.

Workshopping of the content is subject to availability of KYAC Board Members and changes to the above listed sections, if required, are expected to be incorporated into the CLMP under CEO delegation.

Stakeholder Consultation

Consultation on the Draft CLMP was undertaken in two three parts and has been undertaken in accordance with the LG Act and APL Act;

Part 1 - State Agencies and Local Government

Administration sought endorsement of the Draft CLMP from Kadaltilla / Adelaide Park Lands Authority and the Minister for Planning prior to the Draft CLMP being released for community consultation.

Council wrote to the following stakeholders seeking feedback and advising of the Council's intention to undertake community consultation on its Draft CLMP.

- Kadaltilla / Adelaide Park Lands Authority
- The Hon, Nick Champion, Minister for Planning
- State Government authorities
- Adjoining Councils.

Consultation closed 31 July 2023.

Part 2 - Community Consultation

Community consultation on the Draft CLMP was undertaken from 7 July to 31 July 2023 through the following engagement approach;

- South Australian Government Gazette Thursday 6 July 2023
- Public Notice in the Advertiser Newspaper Thursday 6 July 2023
- Your Say public consultation page 7 July 2023 to 31 July 2023

- A consultation package was made available through YourSay which included;
 - Explanatory information
 - Frequently Asked Questions
 - Link to relevant legislation
 - Link to current CLMP documents
 - Draft CLMP
 - Link to other related projects including the Adelaide Aquatic Centre Redevelopment: Construction Licence and Lease Agreement (Denise Norton Park / Pardipardinyilla (Park 2))
 - Administration contact details for further information
 - Submission form
 - Multiple options to provide feedback.
- Hard copies of the Draft CLMP were available at a number Council's Administration buildings
- Email notification to targeted community groups
- Notification of consultation posted on Council's social media platforms and website.

Part 3 – Additional Consultation

Respondents to the consultation process were invited to make a deputation to a Special Meeting of the City Planning, Development and Business Affairs Committee to be heard in relation to their submission.

Four respondents to the consultation made deputations and key points are summarised in Appendix C.

Who We Heard From

Part 1 – Kaurna Representatives/State Agencies/Local Government

- Kaurna Yerta Aboriginal Corporation (KYAC) Board Members
- Nick Champion MP Minister for Planning
- Kadaltilla / Adelaide Park Lands Authority

2 Adjoining Councils

- City of Prospect
- City of Charles Sturt

5 State Agencies / Authority

- Department for Infrastructure and Transport
- Department for Environment and Water
- SA Water
- University of Adelaide
- Central Adelaide Local Health Network

Part 2 - Community Consultation

YourSay Summary

- **404 'Aware' visitors**: these are unique website visitors, which could be individuals or individuals visiting on behalf of organisations, totalling 508 visits to the Your Say Adelaide page (which means some visitors visited the page more than once).
- 223 'Informed' visitors: these are website visitors that explored the available consultation materials more closely by browsing through the consultation information available
- 199 Draft CLMP document downloads: via the YourSay page.
- 10 'Engaged' visitors: people who submitted feedback through the online form.

Community submissions outside of YourSay

- **5 submissions:** were received outside of the YourSay feedback form as email correspondence which included attached submissions.
- 1 late submission: was received on the 6 August 2023 from an individual community member who had already made a submission on 31 July 2023. This late submission has been included in Appendix B.

Part 3 – Additional Consultation

- Deputations: Four community respondents accepted the invitation to be heard at the Special Meeting of the City Planning, Development and Business Affairs Committee.
- The key points raised are summarised in Appendix C.

What We Heard

Feedback on the consolidated Draft CLMP has been received from KYAC, Kadaltilla / Adelaide Park Lands Authority, State and adjoining Councils and the community.

Stakeholder feedback has been summarised in Appendix A.

Part 1 - State Agencies and Local Government

In general, the feedback provided from the State Agencies and adjoining Councils provided support for the Draft CLMP. Stakeholders suggested refinements regarding the Draft CLMP content and the ongoing management of the Park Lands and how they believe the land should be managed into the future.

Feedback broadly focused on the Park Lands responding to State Government developments, including the new Adelaide Aquatic Centre and the need for cultural and heritage values to be continually recognised, maintained and enhanced in the Park Lands.

The theme of enhancement and protection was also highlighted in feedback from adjacent councils who value the Park Lands for their residents from an environmental, sport and recreation perspective.

Key Points from Responses

- General support for the Draft CLMP from State Government and adjoining Councils.
- Local and State Heritages places should be identified in mapping and in text.
- Stronger wording requested regarding the 'enhancement and protection' of the environment and biodiversity areas in the Park Lands.

Part 2 - Community Consultation

Feedback from the community provided a strong response that the Park Lands should be protected and enhanced for the benefit of the communities that it serves and that the Draft CLMP does not provide adequate protection against future or proposed major developments (noting development is assessed against the South Australia Government's state-wide Planning and Design Code).

The community responses indicate a strong desire for the Park Lands to be publicly accessible, with less build form and development and more open spaces focused on the natural environment and biodiversity. Feedback outlined that the commercialisation, privatisation and major developments should be minimised or stopped all together in the Park Lands.

Strong community feedback against development of the Park Lands by the State Government was received, including the New Aquatic Centre in Park 2 and the New Women's and Children's Hospital in Park 27.

Concern was raised that the Council has changed its approach to the Draft CLMP with less detailed content – as previous versions of the CLMP contained information beyond the requirements of the LG Act and APL Act. Concern was raised that the removal of this detail will compromise the protection of the Park Lands. Community responses included concern about policy ambiguity and sought better clarity of policy in the CLMP.

Some feedback provided on the Draft CLMP included aspirations for the enhancement of the Park Lands, whilst this feedback is helpful for the future planning of the Park Lands, these aspirations are more closely aligned to Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy (APLMS) which sets future aspirational targets and objectives for the Park Lands. The APLMS is currently under review, with consultation on this document to occur in 2023.

Key Points from Responses

- The Draft CLMP does not provide the same level of information on protection for the Park Lands from major development as previous versions.
- The Draft CLMP introduces increased ambiguity which does not support the protection of the Park Lands.
- The objectives and purpose in which the Park Lands are held should reflect the environmental and open space nature of the Park Lands and the reinstatement of land as Park Lands rather than being built on.

Part 3 – Additional Consultation

Key Points from Deputations:

- The Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005 requires the APLMS and CLMP to be consistent.
- The Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005 does not deliver the required contemporary structure for Council and State Government to cooperatively manage the Adelaide Park Lands.
- The current CLMP does not require reinvention only requires a refresh and repolish to meet the requirements of the Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005 and Local Government Act 1999
- Concern that if Council approves the draft CLMP with detail removed will make the Park Lands more vulnerable.
- The Park 2 proposition is not consistent with the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy.
- The growing program of events reduces access for other users.

- The word 'ancillary' to the Park could be interpreted to include anything e.g. Adelaide Oval Hotel is 'ancillary' to the sporting arena.
- There is a lack of line of sight from the Act and other plans being developed e.g. the Victoria Park Master Plan
- Neither the APLMS or CLMP comprise an implementation plan or budget.

A full summary of the matters raised by the deputees is provided within Appendix C.

Key Feedback Themes

Three predominant themes have been identified through consultation feedback, including;

Valuable community and environmental asset

 The Park Lands provide valuable open space and environmental landscapes to the city, community and adjoining council areas and should be preserved from major developments.

Enhancement and protection of environmental landscapes

• The community want the Park Lands enhanced with green space and biodiversity that is protected from development, commercialisation and privatisation.

Protection of cultural and heritage values

• State Agencies and Local Government outlined the need for greater identification and protection of culturally and historically significant landscapes and built form.

The three themes highlight the importance and value of community land and specifically the Park Lands to the city, communities and South Australia.

The themes will help Council in its ongoing management of the Park Lands and contribute to future strategic planning to ensure that the Park Lands are enhanced and protected for future generations.

New Adelaide Aquatic Centre Lease Consultation

Consultation on the Draft CLMP occurred at the same time as consultation on the Adelaide Aquatic Centre Redevelopment: Construction Licence and Lease Agreement (Denise Norton Park / Pardipardinyilla (Park 2)).

A number of submissions to the CLMP Consultation raised concerns about the granting of a lease to the State Government for the development of the new Adelaide Aquatic Centre in Denise Norton Park / Pardipardinyilla (Park 2).

Council has undertaken separate community consultation on this matter and feedback will be managed separately to the Draft CLMP.

Administration Response

Administration has reviewed the feedback from Stage 1 and 2 of the consultation in detail with a summary and comment provided in Appendix A and Appendix B.

Administration also heard from four deputees at a Special City Planning, Development and Business Committee meeting held on 26 September 2023.

Administration notes the community's concerns regarding the consolidation of information in the Draft CLMP, want for protection of the Park Lands and desire for policy clarity.

The consolidation of the previous chapters of the CLMP into a single CLMP for the Park Lands is consistent with section 196(2) of the LG Act. This approach will provide Council with a management plan that reduces duplication (and potential inconsistency) between the CLMP and other official documents of Council.

The Draft CLMP provides extensive links to information contained in other documents rather than including extracts from these documents in the Draft CLMP. The approach reduces the overall length of the Draft CLMP, avoids inconsistency between documents when new versions are updated and supports compliance with the CLMP.

The community consultation findings highlight the communities' concerns over the draft CLMP not providing adequate protection of the Adelaide Park Lands.

As outlined in the revised Draft CLMP and in response to community concerns, the following legislation and policy frameworks are the key instruments for the protection of the Adelaide Park Lands.

- Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005 sets out the Statutory Principles for the Adelaide Park Lands and the operation for this Act.
- Planning and Design Code (Adelaide Park Lands Zone) sets out the legislative planning framework and regulations for development within the Adelaide Parks Lands. The zone covers all of the Adelaide Park Lands and includes an Aquatic Centre subzone for part of Denise Norton Park / Pardipardinyalla (Park 2).
- National Heritage Listing The Adelaide Park Lands and City Layout have been
 determined by the Australian Heritage Council as having outstanding value to the nation
 and are protected by the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999*which is the Australian Government's key environmental and heritage protection
 legislation and establishes the National Heritage List. This means that approval must be
 obtained before taking any action that may have a significant impact on the National
 Heritage Values.

The overarching protection of the Park Lands from major development is reliant on the APL Act and Adelaide Park Lands Zone in the Planning and Design Code, the CLMP is a management plan.

The CLMP sets out Council's approach to using and managing community land on behalf of the community it is not a future strategic planning document, which is the role of the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy.

Refinements to the Draft CLMP are proposed in response to consultation feedback to improve effectiveness and clarity of the CLMP.

Implementation of Draft CLMP

Pending adoption by Council, the consolidated CLMP will supersede all previous CLMP's for the Park Lands except the Adelaide Oval Precinct CLMP.

The CLMP will be available on Council's website along with Council's Community Land Register and details of the lease/licenses for the Park Lands.

Appendix A - Consultation Summary Tables

The following sections provide detailed summary and key points that were received in submissions through Part 1 (Stakeholders) and Part 2 (Community) consultation on the Draft CLMP.

An Administrative response has been included to inform if any refinements be considered to respond to the consultation feedback.

Part 1 - State Government Agencies / Adjoining Councils* Stakeholders

*Adjoining Councils – City of Unley, City of West Torrens, City of Charles Sturt, City of Prospect, Town of Walkerville, City of Norwood, Payneham and St Peters and City of Burnside.

Stakeholder	Comments	Administration Comment	Administration Recommendation
Kaurna Yerta Aboriginal Corporation (KYAC)	KYAC supported the consultation strategy for the CLMP and received a presentation on the CLMP on 28 June 2023. A formal letter was sent on 10 July 2023.	Administration is in ongoing discussions with KYAC regarding management of cultural significance, heritage significance and cultural uses.	Pending any further feedback.
Kadaltilla / Adelaide Park Lands Authority	The CLMP needs to be more aspirational in the introductory statements.	In response, further supplementary introductory statements about the Adelaide Park Lands have been included within the introduction to the CLMP.	In response, further supplementary introductory statements about the Adelaide Park Lands have been made in the introduction to the CLMP to reflect community consultation feedback.
		The CLMP includes the following introductory statement on page 1 (now proposed to be further amended to include the words "visitors and future generations"):	Yes
		The Adelaide Park Lands are for all South Australians, visitors and future generations.	

	The uses of the Park Lands, their landscapes and their values are as diverse as the people who enjoy them.	
	They reflect what we value as a community from a social, cultural, recreational and environmental perspective. They are a place to meet and be with friends and family, a place to escape the rigours of urban life, a place to be active, and a place to connect with and appreciate the natural environment.	
	Access to this green open space represents one of the qualities that make our city liveable, healthy and sustainable for those who are already here. It is also one of the attributes that may encourage others to make the City of Adelaide home. This Community Land Management Plan ensures that the Adelaide Park Lands continue to be cared for and managed as an invaluable asset for	
	the public benefit of the people of South Australia.	
Amend 8.4.1: Business Purposes to include "small scale commercial" to be clear this is only for "ancillary uses".	Section 8.4.1 reads: Consistent with section 200 of the LG Act, the Park Lands (community land) must not be used for business purposes unless the use is approved by Council. Small scale commercial activities are envisaged for areas of the Park Lands where the activity	In response, further detail has been included within Section 10: Overarching Objectives, Targets and Measures For The Adelaide Park Lands, to reflect only small scale business uses (community use) of the Adelaide Park Lands are anticipated. Yes

	provides community benefit and supports outdoor recreational use of the Park Lands. This wording is consistent with that of Objective 3: "Commercial Activities" in section 10 which provides the 'Overarching Objectives, Targets And Measures for Adelaide Park Lands'.	
The reference to vehicle access and parking in section 8.4.3 appeared to be an incorrect placement of text. It was suggested that this information be included under 8.5: 'Adelaide Event Guidelines.'	The reference to vehicle access and structures is not an incorrect placement of text. This section addresses the range, type and duration of cultural uses which may be quite different to an 'event'. For example, uses which may require people staying overnight as was the case with the Cultural Burn in Park 17. In these situations, vehicle access is restricted to that required to undertake the activity.	The draft CLMP reflects the commitment in the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy to actively manage and reduce car parking in the Park Lands. Yes
Add a reference to the wetland / stormwater site in the GS Kingston Park / Wirrarninthi (Park 23) annexure.	The wetland is mentioned in both the text and the aerial map for Park 23. 'Stormwater retention basin' was added to the text: GS Kingston Park / Wirrarninthi (Park 23) features a biodiversity area, incorporating a wetland/ stormwater retention basin, the Wirraninthi	In response, further detail has been included within the GS Kingston Park / Wirrarninthi (Park 23) Annexure to reference the stormwater detention basin. Yes

7.3: National Heritage Listing - The comment was made that this section provides only a partial description of the criteria met by the Adelaide Park Lands and City Layout in order to achieve National Heritage Listing. It was suggested that to provide a more comprehensive outline of the criteria OR delete the partial description, allowing Appendix B (which lists the six criteria in full) to tell the full story.	Interpretive Trail and associated public art. The partial description has been deleted. The Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (the EPBC Act) is the Australian Government's key environmental and heritage protection legislation and establishes the National Heritage List. The Adelaide Park Lands and City Layout is included on the National Heritage List (Appendix B). The Adelaide Park Lands and City Layout is listed because it is a significant example of early colonial planning and has retained key elements of its historical layout, including two major city areas separated by the River Torrens / Karrawirra Pari, the encircling Park Lands, six town squares and gardens, and a grid pattern of roads.	In response, a summary of the National Heritage List values has been inserted in section 7.3, the full list of values and criteria continue to be listed in Appendix B. Yes
Change references to events to 'temporary events.'	All references to events in individual parks have been amended to 'temporary events' where relevant.	In response, the draft CLMP now lists events as 'temporary' as per the recommendation. Yes
Delete Figure 5 in the Denise Norton Park / Pardipardinyilla (Park 2) annexure as it relates to the proposed site of the new Aquatic Centre.	Figure 5 has been deleted and the figure numbering updated in the rest of the document.	In response, the draft CLMP now reflects the recommendation. Yes
Update aerial images (the example	Annexure maps have been updated	In response, the aerial images for the

	given was Victoria Park which showed an unfinished and dry wetland)	 with the latest aerial images including: Park 16 - completed wetland Park 4 - carbon offset planting site Park 14 - all access playground Park 20 - creek works in conjunction with the wetlands Whitmore Square - new edge path 	suggested parks have been updated and are current to the CoA's aerial imagery. Yes
	Consider inclusion of reference to ODASA Good Design Guidelines	The following statement has been added to the end of Section 8.5: 'Adelaide Park Lands Building Design Guidelines': Additional design resources are available via the Office for Design and Architecture SA (ODASA)	In response, the draft CLMP has been refined to include the suggested inclusion of the ODASA Good Design Principles. Yes
	At its meeting on 23 February 2023 Kadaltilla supported the amended consolidated draft CLMP, inclusive of some additional minor changes (as listed above) for the purposes of undertaking consultation.	Noted	This is a statement in support of the draft CLMP (subject to the listed amendments) which were made prior to consultation Yes
Minister for Planning	Annexure 27 – Tarntanya Wama (Park 26), Figure 29: Extend the aerial photograph north to illustrate the whole of Park 26 and clarify the boundary of the land subject to this CLMP and the boundary of the land subject to the Adelaide Oval Precinct CLMP.	Refinement to map made to include full extent of Adelaide Oval Precinct CLMP prior to community consultation	In response, the aerial photos has been amended in Annexure 27 as suggested. Yes
	Norton Park / Pardipardinyilla (Park 2).	Noted	These are opening statements about the draft CLMP and do not request

In addition, the Minister considered the CLMP in respect of the proposed redevelopment of the		changes.
Adelaide Aquatic Centre. The State has committed to build a new Adelaide Aquatic Centre on		
Denise Norton Park / Pardipardinyilla (Park 2).		
The upgrade is referred to in the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy 2015-2025 (the Strategy) and the Planning and Design Code (the Code) as amended in respect of Denise Norton Park / Pardipardinyilla (Park 2).		
The Code, in particular, includes a number of policies and protections to ensure a no net loss of park lands and includes built form setbacks to Barton Terrace (50 metres from the kerb), an identified 'return to Park Lands area', a 'biodiversity area for protection', and avenue of trees for protection. To ensure consistency with the Strategy and the Code, the introduction of some amendments to the CLMP are required.		
Annexure 2: Figure 4: This should include a qualifying	In response, an amendment was made prior to community consultation	In response, the suggested amendment to Figure 4 was made
statement to note that although the map is current at the date of publication, the layout of the facilities as depicted in the map is anticipated	as follows; Map is current at the date of publication and layout is anticipated to	prior to community consultation. Yes

to change due to the redevelopment of the Adelaide Aquatic Centre.	change under Management Proposal in A2.6	
Items A2.4 and A2.5: These items currently refer only to 'indoor' aquatic facilities. They should be updated to refer to 'indoor and outdoor' aquatic facilities given the new centre is proposed to include an outdoor pool and other outdoor aquatic facilities.	In response, A2.4 was amended prior to consultation to include both 'indoor and outdoor' aquatic facilities.	In response, the suggested amendment was made prior to community consultation. Yes
 This item should include support for all works and activities associated with the proposed redevelopment including but not limited to, demolition of the existing centre, construction of a new centre (potentially in a different part of Park 2), landscaping and other works to car parks sporting fields, pathways and other areas and facilities within the park. The statement currently included in the CLMP regarding the State Government's intention to change the care, control and management arrangements in relation to this site should be broadened to provide flexibility around the grant of access and rights to the State to construct, own and operate the new Aquatic Centre. 	In response, A2.6 was amended prior to consultation to reflect suggestion: Support State Government commitment to the relocation and development of a new aquatic facility (incorporating upgraded landscaping and other works to surrounding areas) within the park, including by authorising: • the grant of any licence(s) over all areas necessary to facilitate construction activities (including decommissioning of the existing aquatic centre and commissioning of a new facility); and • the grant of a form of long term lease to or entry into other form of long term arrangement with the State Government to facilitate the State Government's ownership, management and operation of the new facility;	In response, the suggested amendment was made prior to community consultation. Yes

	Without limiting other options available, this section should expressly authorise the City of Adelaide (the Council) under section 202(3) of the Local Government Act 1999 to grant leases and licences in relation to the proposed redevelopment and the ongoing operation of the centre. This section should also clearly state that the limitations on the grant of temporary licences by the Council (as described in item 8.4.5 of the CLMP) do not apply to the grant of a licence from the Council to the State for the purposes of undertaking the Adelaide Aquatic Centre redevelopment.	Support other facilities and commercial activities complementing the provision of aquatic activities, fitness and allied health uses and ancillary use that are consistent with the purposes for which the land is held; Encourage a master plan of the site to promote the integration of uses and spaces.	
	Subject to the above suggestions being adequately addressed, the Minister for Planning provided endorsement to proceed to public consultation on the CLMP for the Adelaide Park Lands.	Noted	This comment supports the draft CLMP (subject to amendments which were made prior to community consultation). No.
University of Adelaide	We have reviewed the CLMP as a state authority with a direct interest in the matter. As noted in the CLMP this plan does not include land under the care, control and management of the Crown or a State Authority and as such much of the land on which the University is located is not subject to	Noted	This is an opening statement and does not request changes to the draft CLMP.

the CLMP objectives, policies and proposals.		
We do note however that consideration has been given to preserve a straight axial view connecting the Barr Smith Library of the University of Adelaide to the Adelaide Botanic Garden, aligning with the original design as intended by South Australian architect Walter Bagot. This is consistent with our planning and our Public Realm Masterplan.	Noted	This is a comment noting the draft CLMP is consistent with the plans of the University of Adelaide.
We have also reviewed the CLMP in the context of the Park Lands Lease Agreement between the University of Adelaide and Adelaide City Council dated 9 September 2013. This 42 year lease is for the buildings and sports fields used and maintained by the University at Bullrush Park / Warnpangga (Park 10) and Red Gum Park / Karrawirra (Park 12). The area of these two parks is far greater than the area covered by the University lease however the lease would appear to have a slightly broader definition of permitted use than is outlined in the CLMP in the individual park plan Purpose and Objectives.	Noted – The permitted use under the lease is broad, it outlines uses consistent with or related to sporting activities of any kind, without limitation clubrooms, training, games, events and functions, or any other use permitted for the Adelaide Park Lands by the management plans. The wording in the Draft CLMP relevant to the Adelaide Uni Lease states, 'Providing sporting fields and an archery field'. Minor refinements proposed to Park 10 and 12 to ensure permitted use include the uses under any lease and license over the land.	The draft CLMP has been refined for consistency with the lease agreement in place with the University of Adelaide. Yes
We request CoA consider the incorporation of more detail in the individual park plans in the section	The Adelaide University Sport Masterplan for Park 10/12 has not been endorsed by Kadaltilla or	The Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy is the

	A5 Objectives, targets and measures for managing the land. This section is currently included in each plan but the level of detail varies. The addition of the following will better align each plan with our lease and our Park 10/12 Sports Masterplan (as presented to Adelaide Parklands Authority in 2020).	Council. The Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy should influence future master planning of Parks 10 & 12.	appropriate mechanism to consider future master plans. No
City of Prospect	Within the objectives, to ensure there is adequate access to, and inclusivity within, all the key facilities and amenities being provided to the public, where possible, include principles of Universal Design	Noted – Council will continue to include principles of Universal Design for all Park Land facilities and amenity upgrades through the implementation of the Adelaide Park Lands Building Design Guidelines and the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy	This is standard practice for facility design and included in the Adelaide Park Lands Building Design Guidelines. No
	In Annexure 2, item A2.7 (page 22) lists that "there may be restricted access to the sporting fields and courts under licence during programmed sporting times". Our feedback is to ensure that the courts and sporting fields continue to remain predominately available for community use, outside of programmed sporting times.	Noted – the current wording provides awareness of the reason for temporary changes in access: There may be restricted access to the sporting fields and courts under licence during programmed sporting times	This is implied in the draft CLMP – outside of programmed times, the sporting fields and courts have open community access.
City of Charles Sturt	Feedback focuses on Park 1 and Park 27B, given the direct connection of these parks to the City of Charles Sturt, and to a lesser extent Park 27A, which caters for active recreation (including dog exercise) for Charles Sturt residents	Noted	This is a statement and does not suggest alteration to the draft CLMP.
	Council welcomes and supports the	Noted	This is a comment noting general

overarching objectives, targets and measures for Adelaide Park Lands, as outlined in section 10. These objectives are broadly consistent with relevant City of Charles Sturt plans and strategies and form a practical and equitable approach to managing the Park Lands, in consideration of their diverse recreational, environmental and cultural heritage.		support for the CLMP and does not propose any alteration to the draft CLMP.
The management objectives for trees, gardens and open space is acknowledged and supported, particularly the inclusion of providing a mix of species and climate resilience. This aligns to the City of Charles Sturt's Tree Canopy Improvement Strategy and recognises the importance of tree and vegetation management in achieving urban cooling and biodiversity objectives beyond council boundaries.	Noted	This is a comment noting general support for the CLMP and does not propose any alteration to the draft CLMP.
The management objectives for lakes, wetlands, watercourses and stormwater is acknowledged and supported, recognising the impact on the ecosystem of the River Torrens / Karrawirra Pari, and the downstream location of the City of Charles Sturt and our role in managing the river and associated infrastructure.	Noted	This is a comment noting general support for the CLMP and does not propose alteration to the draft CLMP. No
The management objectives for public facilities, furniture and amenities is acknowledged and supported. The	Noted	This is a comment noting general support for the CLMP and does not propose alteration to the draft CLMP.

Park Lands provide important facilities for City of Charles Sturt residents, particularly those in Hindmarsh, Brompton, Bowden and Ovingham. The inclusion of 'dog parks' is also important, including maintaining access to dog off leash areas. The provision and maintenance of signage, particularly way finding, is also important to highlight directions to nearby services in the City of Charles Sturt, such as the Adelaide Entertainment Centre and Bowden train station.		No
The management objectives for foot and cycle paths to meet the need of users is acknowledged and supported. Council strongly supports maintaining the integrity of the Park Lands Trail and connections to the City of Charles Sturt via the River Torrens Linear Park, Park Terrace underpass, and pedestrian crossings at Hawker Street and Torrens Road.	Noted	This is a comment noting general support for the CLMP and does not propose alteration to the draft CLMP. No
The management objective for leases and licences is acknowledged and supported, particularly in response to 'a variety of sporting groups that respond to sporting demand', as City of Charles Sturt may benefit. Council suggests leases and licences are issued in consideration of broader community access, to ensure the use	Noted	This is a comment noting general support for the CLMP and does not propose alteration to the draft CLMP. No

of the Park Lands is optimised and available to all.		
Council acknowledged and supported the management objective for the National Heritage Listing, and the development of a National Heritage Management Plan for the city and Adelaide Park Lands by December 2023. Further input into the development of this plan by adjoining Council's would be welcomed.	All adjoining Councils were invited to review and provide input to the National Heritage Management Plan.	This is a comment noting general support for the CLMP and does not propose alteration to the draft CLMP. No
The objective 'to provide golf, golfing related activities and ancillary services' is specifically listed for this Park, whilst objectives for other parks are listed more broadly in section 10. It is also noted that the objectives do Not explicitly include the sites of cultural significance, which, whilst this may be subject to further review as part of the National Heritage Management Plan, may strengthen the objectives for this park.	Noted – Park Context and Purpose for with the land is held have been updated for all Parks.	Text from the current CLMP has been reinserted for all Parks to provide additional context. No
Inclusion of the Park Lands trail is acknowledged and supported, in reference to the comments outlined above regarding Park Lands access for City of Charles Sturt residents	Noted	This is a comment noting general support for the CLMP and does not propose alteration to the draft CLMP.
General comments	Noted	In response, supplementary detail has

- Some parks include commentary of their history (both pre and post-European settlement) and important features, however this is not provided for parks 1 and 27B.
- Not sure what is meant by 'open access' re the community garden in 27b. We understand that a fence was recently installed which was supported by ACC. We understand that the community garden group have recently been making efforts to make the garden more accessible in terms of disability access and Inclusion supporting these aims, as well as engagement with all users of the Park Lands might suit better?
- City of Charles Sturt would support trails and destinations in the Park Lands to consider Disability Access and Inclusion considerations ensuring all people can access the Park Lands.
- Interest for a small dog park in close proximity to Bowden. Any commentary should be conducive to that as a potential future option as the likelihood of land being available in the Bowden side is slim.
- It is also noted that 'support the master planning and redevelopment' is listed for some

Supplementary detail proposed.

For security reasons a perimeter fence has been constructed with the approval of Council. Approval has been provided to lock the gate at night on the provision that the gate is unlocked each morning.

In response, supplementary detail has been provided to clarify access to the community garden may be restricted at night for security reasons but will not be locked or limit public access during the day.

Specific improvements to Park Land areas to be considered in the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy.

been provided to clarify access arrangements relating to the community garden in Park 27B.

Historical information for each Park will be included in the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy.

Yes

	parks in the CLMP document, but is not included for parks 1 and 27B, assuming no further development is proposed to these parks in the short term.		
Central Adelaide Local Health Network	General Support provided, No issues or concerns raised	N/A General support provided.	This is a comment noting general support for the CLMP and does not propose any alteration to the draft CLMP.
SA Water	General Support provided, No issues or concerns raised	N/A General support provided.	This is a comment noting general support for the CLMP and does not propose alteration to the draft CLMP.
Department of Infrastructure & Transport	Ensure references to the locations of known burial sites are approved by the Kaurna Yerta Aboriginal Corporation Board to allow this information to be made publicly available as this information is protected by the Aboriginal Heritage Act 1988	Noted - Kaurna burial sites are no longer shown on maps, they are referenced in text descriptions. The City of Adelaide will continue to work with KYAC to ensure Kaurna burial sites and sites of significance are acknowledged and/or recognised in accordance with advice from persons of cultural authority.	This suggestion has been actioned with known burial sites previously listed now removed from the draft CLMP.
	The Department supports the draft CLMP and notes commentary included in Annexure 28 – Bonython Park / Tulya Wardli (Park 27) regarding the expected impact on the functions of the park as a consequence of the New Women's and Children's Hospital Act 2022.	Noted	This is a comment noting general support for the CLMP and does not propose alteration to the draft CLMP.

	However it is noted that the CLMP only applies to those areas under the care/ control of the City of Adelaide; the land for the nWCH is already vested in the Minister for Health & Wellbeing		
Department for Environment & Water (DEW)	• In Table 1 under the category 'Biodiversity' DEW notes that the objective is to protect the biodiversity in the Park Lands. There is some excellent revegetation work occurring in the Park Lands and DEW considers this should also be reflected in this section, DEW suggests amending the objective to read 'protect and enhance biodiversity in the Park Lands'.	Minor refinements to wording proposed to reflect— 'protect and enhance' biodiversity.	The draft CLMP has been refined as suggested to include 'protect and enhance' biodiversity throughout the document Yes
	 In Table 1 under the category Heritage landscapes and features includes the following Measure: 'M8.1 Monitor the number of changes that negatively impact on heritage values through reports to Council as required.' DEW does not consider that this measure will achieve the stated objective and target which are: 'O8 To protect heritage landscapes and features.' 'T8.1 Historic and culturally significant landscapes, character, structures, features and spatial layout are managed with regard to 	State and Local Heritage places are included and mapped in the Adelaide Park Lands and City Layout: National Heritage Management Plan (NHMP) that is being developed by the Council. To reduce duplication, the NHMP will be referred to in future iterations of the CLMP once finalised. Minor change to Measure 8.1: Monitor the number of changes Changes that negatively impact on heritage values are assessed and negative impacts are reported through reports to Council as required.	In response, the measure for Heritage landscapes and features has been improved in the draft CLMP The remaining suggestions will be considered as part of an update to Council's Integrated Biodiversity Management Plan being undertaken in 2023/24 Yes

State/Local listings and Cultural Landscape Assessments to ensure they are protected.' DEW suggests that a more proactive measure is devised that assesses the likely impact of proposed changes/development/maintenanc e regimes on the heritage values and not only reports on the potential negative impact, but also prevents the negative impact from occurring in the first place. DEW notes this section does not mention the role of the Park Lands in providing eco-system services to the city and surrounding areas e.g. cooling. DEW suggests including in this section, an objective about retaining and maintaining those values that contribute to making the city and surrounding areas more liveable and climate resilient.	Objectives regarding ecosystem improvements and further information on Key Biodiversity areas are within Council's Integrated Biodiversity Management Plan.	
Section 11: Park specific information In this section each park is represented by a map that outlines key aspects of the park that are being managed/protected/preserved e.g. key biodiversity areas, sites of cultural significance (as opposed to heritage listed sites) or elements	State and Local Heritage places are included and mapped in the Adelaide Park Lands and City Layout: National Heritage Management Plan (NHMP) that is being developed by the Council. So as to reduce duplication, the NHMP will be referred to in future iterations of the CLMP once finalised.	In response, the draft CLMP was amended prior to community consultation to reflect that some Parks have multiple State Heritage places, rather than only mentioning a specific site Yes

	that are located within the park			
	such as drone flying areas or car			
	parks.			
•	DEW suggests that each map also clearly identify the location of local and State Heritage Places so that it is clear that there are additional legislative requirements around the protection of those places			
	within each park.			
•	The accompanying text for each park does often highlight that there			
	are State and/or local heritage			
	places within the park, however, in some cases the text makes it			
	appear as if there is only one site			
	within the park or none. For			
	example, in Annexure 12 – Red			
	Gum Park / Karrawirra (Park 12), features of the park note the State			
	Heritage listed Grandstand – there			
	are actually six State Heritage			
	Places in Park 12, that are located			
	within the land managed by the City of Adelaide. Similarly for the			
	River Torrens / Karrawirra and			
	also Victoria Square /			
	Tarntanyangga.			
	DEW suggests that the text for	•	State and Local Heritage places	In response, improvements were
	Innexure 12 Park 12, Annexure 34 –		are included and mapped in the	made to figure numbers on maps prior
I I	ictoria Square / Tarntanyangga and Innexure 40 River Torrens /		Adelaide Park Lands and City Layout: National Heritage	to public consultation. Yes
	farrawirra Pari be updated to indicate		Management Plan (NHMP) that is	100
I I	nere are several State Heritage		being developed by the Council.	

Places in Park 12, Victoria Square and (crossing over the) River as has occurred in other park entries.	So as to reduce duplication, the NHMP will be referred to in future iterations of the CLMP once finalised.	
Annexure 4 – Reservoir Park / Kangatilla – includes an area of revegetation which is mentioned in the park context section and shown on the map. DEW suggests that mention could also be made of the revegetation in the purpose and management proposals sections e.g. not just protecting existing biodiversity but also enhancing it.	This is covered in Council's Integrated Biodiversity Management Plan which is accessed from the CLMP via a linked document.	In response, the draft CLMP has been supplemented as suggested to include 'protect and enhance' biodiversity throughout the document. No
Annexure 11 – Frome Park / Nellie Raminyemmerin – the reference to the figure in paragraph four should be 13 not 14.	Noted	In response, improvements were made to figure numbers on maps prior to public consultation. Yes
Annexure 38 – Whitmore Square / Iparritya – Figure label says it is a Map of Light Square – correct to Whitmore Square	Noted	In response, improvements were made to figure numbers on maps prior to public consultation. Yes
A number of parks include key biodiversity areas, there may be some benefit to including a short description of what values each of these areas have. Areas of remnant vegetation are also identified in some parks, but it is not clear why these areas are not also referenced as key biodiversity areas	Noted – Detail about Key Biodiversity Areas is covered in Council's Integrated Biodiversity Management Plan which is accessed from the CLMP via a linked document.	The suggestion regarding remnant vegetation is covered in Council's Integrated Biodiversity Management Plan which is accessed from the CLMP via a linked document.

Consultation Summary Report

Part 2 - Community Consultation (through YourSay)

- The following feedback has been received through the Your Say community consultation page from individual community members (the identity of the individuals have been removed).
- The Your Say page asked stakeholders 6 questions as outlined below.

Summary of Comments Submitted	Administration Comment	Refinement Recommended	
Q1. Do you have any comments about the overall purpose for which the Adelaide Park Lands as a system are held, as outlined in section 5.2 (page 5) of the draft CLMP?			
Park Lands are for all residents and users, not private schools or business entities	The granting of lease and licenses is subject to Council approval and community land is available for community use outside of programmed times.	In response, further detail has been included within the Introduction to reflect community consultation feedback. No	
A future vision of the Park Lands featuring a lake, diverse birdlife and shade. An ecofriendly space based on environmental sustainability and well-designed stormwater management	This vision is captured by the objectives, targets and measures relating to (i) trees, (ii) gardens and open space and (iii) lakes, wetlands, watercourses and stormwater. Future aspirations for the Park Lands are captured in the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy.	Future aspirations are captured within the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy and Council's Water Sensitive Action Plan, the CLMP links to both plans.	
The points outlined in this section are reasonable	Noted	Comment provides general support and does not suggest refinement to the draft CLMP.	
Objection to the Park Lands being used for events which block access and have negative impacts on nearby residents (noise, litter and anti-social behaviour)	Events in the Park Lands are governed by the Adelaide Event Guidelines	This comment outlines concerns with the use of the Adelaide Park Lands for events which block access and have negative amenity impacts on nearby residents. The hosting of events is consistent with Council's Strategic Plan and the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy and is managed through Council's Event Guidelines.	

Summary of Comments Submitted	Administration Comment	Refinement Recommended	
Overall purposes should be for enjoyment of all people and visitors and for benefit of the environment Just don't build physical structures on the Adelaide Park Lands. Simple. Leave nature alone.	These points are captured by the five points listed under the overall purpose for which the Park Lands are held. Noted – the Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005 is the highest order of protection for the Adelaide Park Lands. Development is assessed under the State Government's state-wide Planning and Design Code.	In response, supplementary wording has been included in the Introduction and objectives for management has been refined to strengthen the purpose for which the Adelaide Park Lands are held. No In response, further detail has been included within the Introduction to reflect community consultation feedback.	
Section 11 elements conflicts against the overarching purposes cited of 5.2. For example the plan is supportive of the destruction of heritage olive groves and trees for new Women's and Childrens Hospital site, supportive of destruction of Parklands for new Aquatic Centre yet speaks to environmental benefit elements within 5.2.	The stated developments are State Government developments which have been approved under separate legislation. The CLMP is required to reflect State Government proposals in the Park Lands.	In response, further detail has been included within the Introduction to reflect community consultation feedback.	
Q2. Do you have any comments about the objectives, targets and measures covering all the Adelaide Park Lands, as listed in section 10 (pages 13-16) of the draft CLMP?			
Must be provisions for retaining some Park Lands as natural space: natural bushland as close to pre-settlement as possible. For reasons of biodiversity and health and wellbeing of people.	Provisions relating to the retention of key biodiversity areas and remnant vegetation are addressed in the objective / target / measure relating to biodiversity.	In response, Objective 05 and Targets 5.1 were amended to include 'protect and enhance' biodiversity in the Park Lands. Yes	

Summary of Comments Submitted	Administration Comment	Refinement Recommended	
Objects to the Park Lands being used for commercial purposes that have a negative impact on green space and block access for an extended period.	Noted - The granting of lease and licenses for commercial purposes is considered on a case-by-case basis.	In response, wording in Introduction and Objectives for management has been amended to strengthen the purpose for which the Adelaide Park Lands are held.	
The targets etc should ensure the Park Lands are not built on, given over to private use and to encourage native vegetation.	Noted – the development of facilities and built form is carefully considered by Council to ensure that the objectives of the Park Lands are met.	In response, wording in Introduction and Objectives for management has been amended to strengthen the purpose for which the Adelaide Park Lands are held.	
Just maintain and encourage nature. No more buildings.	Noted – the development of facilities and built form is carefully considered by Council to ensure that the objectives of the Park Lands are met.	In response, wording in the Introduction and objectives for management have been amended to strengthen the purpose for which the Adelaide Park Lands are held.	
The key elements are being breached presently and are failing to meet targets and measures cited. i.e. destruction and loss of parklands for new State high school, Women's and Childrens Hospital, proposal lapsed for destruction of part Park 21. The State Government is already running roughshod over what is the papers worth?	Noted – the Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005 is the highest order of protection for the Adelaide Park Lands. The CLMP is required to reflect State Government proposals in the Park Lands.	In response, wording in the Introduction and objectives for management have been amended to strengthen the purpose for which the Adelaide Park Lands are held.	
Q3. Do you have any comments about the purpose for which the land of a specific park is held, as outlined in each of the annexures contained in section 11 (pages 18-113) of the draft CLMP? Please name the park or parks to which you are referring.			
Rymill Park / Murlawirrapurka (Park 14) is over-used for events: time to bump in/out, limitations to access, cost of restoration	Noted – Events in the Park Lands are governed by the Adelaide Event Guidelines - the CLMP provides for the provision of events to be held, not the duration or frequency.	The hosting of events is consistent with Council's Strategic Plan and the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy and is managed through Council's Event Guidelines.	

Summary of Comments Submitted	Administration Comment	Refinement Recommended
Victoria Park wetlands: "fabulous" but concerns about dogs off-leash and bituminising areas of the park for car racing	Council has decided to increase dogs on- leash areas in Victoria Park around the Wetlands, signage has been installed and Council's website has been updated with the updated dog on/off leash areas.	
Park 2: an opportunity to restore to green and open space. Suggest changing the purpose for which the park is held. If a brownfield site selected for the new Aquatic Centre instead, it would return 30,000m² to Park Lands. Park 25: could be more than sporting in purpose as also features the 'Narnungga Urban Forest'	Noted – Council will be working closely with the State Government to ensure that the areas returned to Park Lands as a result of the new Aquatic Centre are designed to provide public access to enhanced open spaces	As suggested, Annexure 26 has been updated to include Narnungga Urban Forest.
East Park Lands bordering East Terrace / Hutt Street/ Rundle Street / North Terrace (no further comment provided)	N/A	This is an incomplete comment and does not suggest any alteration to the draft CLMP.
Park Lands should not be built on or used for any purpose not in the interests of the environment	Noted - Objectives, targets and measures include (i) trees, (ii) gardens and open space and (iii) lakes, wetlands, watercourses and stormwater.	This comment is a stated preference for the Park Lands to be used for environmental purposes only. In response, wording in Introduction and objectives for management have been amended to strengthen the purpose for which the Adelaide Park Lands are held. No
The draft CLMP supports Parklands destruction based on present State Government initiative construction sites. We have no protection from State Government	Noted – the Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005 is the highest order of protection for the Adelaide Park Lands.	This comment outlines concern that current CLMP does not protect the Adelaide Park Lands from the State Government.
intrusion for construction purposes. The draft CLMP supports total destruction of heritage olives groves for new Women's and Children Hospital site and overlay.	The CLMP is required to reflect State Government proposals in the Park Lands.	No

Summary of Comments Submitted	Administration Comment	Refinement Recommended
Q4. Do you have any comments about the additional objectives, targets and measures for managing a specific park, as outlined in each of the annexures contained in section 11 (pages 18-113) of the draft CLMP? Please name the park or parks to which you are referring.		
Park 2: selecting a brownfield site instead for the new Aquatic Centre would release 30,000m2 back as Park Lands	Noted – Council will be working in collaboration with the State Government to ensure that the areas returned to Park Lands as a result of the new aquatic centre are designed to provide public access to enhanced open spaces. The CLMP is a management document for existing community land – it is not a planning document for site selection for facilities. This is more appropriate for the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy or a State Government Planning Strategy	This is a comment that does not propose any amendment to the draft CLMP. No
Park 25: foster as a forest / natural bushland to provide biodiversity and health/ wellbeing benefits	Noted - This vision is captured by the objectives, targets and measures relating to (i) trees, (ii) gardens and open space and (iii) lakes, wetlands, watercourses and stormwater.	In response, Objective 05 and Targets 5.1 were amended to include 'protect and enhance' biodiversity in the Park Lands. Yes
East Park Lands bordering East Terrace / Hutt Street/ Rundle Street / North Terrace (no further comment provided)	N/A	This is an incomplete comment and does not suggest any refinement to the draft CLMP.
Park Lands should not be built on or used for any purpose not in the interests of the environment	Noted - Objectives, targets and measures include (i) trees, (ii) gardens and open space and (iii) lakes, wetlands, watercourses and stormwater.	This comment is a stated preference for the Adelaide Park Lands to be used for environmental purposes only. Wording in Introduction and Objectives for management has been amended to

Summary of Comments Submitted	Administration Comment	Refinement Recommended
		strengthen the purpose for which the Adelaide Park Lands are held.
No more buildings.	Noted – the development of facilities and built form is carefully considered by Council to ensure that the objectives of the Park Lands are met.	This is a stated preference for no more buildings in the Adelaide Park Lands. Objectives, Targets and Measures for built form footprint have been refined.
· ·	nagement proposals for a specific park, as outline Please name the park or parks to which you are	
Consolidate buildings in Park 17 to better support mixed sport participation	Noted – the consolidation of building and facilities within specific parks can be considered and identified as opportunities through the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy.	This suggestion has been included in the draft CLMP as a Management Proposal.
Park 2: Do not authorise the proposed construction licence and lease agreement sought by the State Government for the new Aquatic Centre	Noted – see separate consultation around the lease and license for the new Adelaide Aquatic Centre.	This comment relates to a separate consultation by Council. The draft CLMP reflects Council decisions regarding Park 2.
Why are these Park Lands blocked for six months a year. Set up – event – clean up (respondent is referring to the East Park Lands bordering East Terrace / Hutt Street/ Rundle Street / North Terrace)	Noted – Events in the Park Lands are governed by the <u>Adelaide Event Guidelines</u> - the CLMP provides for the provision of events to be held, not the duration or frequency.	The draft CLMP reflects use and management of the Adelaide Park Lands. The hosting of events is consistent with Council's Strategic Plan and the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy and is managed through Council's Event Guidelines.
Park Lands should not be built on or used for any purpose not in the interests of the environment	Noted - Objectives, targets and measures include (i) trees, (ii) gardens and open space and (iii) lakes, wetlands, watercourses and stormwater.	This comment is a stated preference for the Adelaide Park Lands to be used for environmental purposes only.

Summary of Comments Submitted	Administration Comment	Refinement Recommended
		Wording in Introduction and Objectives for management has been amended to strengthen the purpose for which the Adelaide Park Lands are held. No
What management do you have when you support Parklands destruction for State Government development purposes.	Noted – the Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005 is the highest order of protection for the Adelaide Park Lands. The CLMP is required to reflect State Government proposals in the Park Lands.	This comment relates to State Government development within the Park Lands and does not suggest any refinement to the draft CLMP.
Q6. Do you have any further comments about	the draft consolidated Community Land Manage	ment Plan for the Adelaide Park Lands?
Stop private schools building private facilities in the Park Lands. They are not public facilities; they are private function centres.	Noted – the development of facilities and built form is carefully considered by Council to ensure that the objectives of the Park Lands are met.	This is a stated preference for no further private school buildings in the Adelaide Park Lands and does not suggest any refinement to the draft CLMP. The Leasing and Licencing Policy outlines who can or cannot lease or licence areas of the Park Lands. No
Need to re-green the Park Lands: plant more and protect existing trees. The Park Lands are not development opportunities but areas that should be increased / re-greened. Use brownfield sites for commercial purposes	Noted - Objectives, targets and measures include (i) trees, (ii) gardens and open space and (iii) lakes, wetlands, watercourses and stormwater.	In response, wording in the Introduction and objectives for management have been amended to strengthen the purpose for which the Adelaide Park Lands are held.
Litter and graffiti not removed in a timely manner. Some areas of the Park Lands are not safe. Anti-social behaviour of Aboriginal groups.	Noted – this is managed through Council's operational maintenance for the Park Lands	Council will continue to work with the community and stakeholders to increase safety and reduce anti-social behaviour in the Adelaide Park Lands. No

Summary of Comments Submitted	Administration Comment	Refinement Recommended
Park Lands should not be built but retained for public enjoyment.	Noted – the development of facilities and built form is considered by Council to ensure that the objectives of the Park Lands are met.	This comments states a preference for no further buildings in the Adelaide Park Lands and does not suggest any refinement to the draft CLMP. Further detail has been provided within the Objective 016, Target T16.1 and Measure M16.1 regarding built form footprint.
Where is the State Government Heritage Listing? Utterly commercial and hypocritical to delay this decision. The Park Lands are not free public land for commercial	Noted – The State Heritage Listing is a State Government progress, Council has supported its progression.	The State Heritage Listing is a State Government progress, Council has supported its progression.
developments.	Council is currently progressing the World Heritage Listing and the Adelaide Park Lands and City Layout National Heritage Management Plan.	In response, further detail has been included to Section 7.3 – National Heritage Listing, to provide the Criteria and Values of the National Heritage List for the Park Lands and City Layout.
The existing and future document fails to provide protection of Parklands I have no faith it can protect Parklands from State Government developments.	Noted – the Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005, the Adelaide Park Lands Zone in the Planning and Design Code and the National Heritage Listing provide the protection for the Adelaide Park Lands.	This comment is a statement that the existing and proposed draft CLMP do not protect the Adelaide Park Lands from State Government Development.
	The CLMP is required to reflect State Government proposals in the Park Lands.	The purpose of the CLMP is to document Council's management approach for community land.

Part 2 - Community Consultation (Written Submissions)

The following were submissions received as written responses through the YourSay Platform.

Stakeholder	Summary of Comments Submitted	Administration Comment	Refinement Recommended
Individual Community Member (1)	A 30-page submission was provided by a community member. The Community member provided the following as a summary of the submission — Administration has reviewed the submission in detail. (A copy of the full submission and Administrative Comment is provided in Appendix B).	A copy of the full submission and Administrative Comment is provided in Appendix 2.	Comments addressed below.
	If this disturbing proposed revision of the Adelaide Park Lands Community Land Management Plan (CLMP) is adopted it	Refinements to the draft CLMP are proposed in response to the consultation and comments seeking to ensure	This comment is an opening statement and does not directly request changes to the draft CLMP.
	will result in the effective compromising of the purpose and policy efficacy of one of the two key statutory policy	effectiveness and clarity of policy in the CLMP.	In response, further detail has been included within the body of the CLMP (rather than rely on embedded links) to
	documents required under the Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005 to guide park lands zone management direction.	The draft CLMP has removed material that was more aligned with the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy.	complement the legislative requirements for the CLMP and policy positions for the Adelaide Park Lands.
	The gutted (and in parts highly ambiguous) version proposed by the city council in July 2023 would usher in a new and egregious period of state government and commercial exploitation of the park lands.	Some of the material that has been removed will form content in the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy Update	It is intended that Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy Update will now also include some of the information that has been removed from the existing CLMP's. No
	Park lands CLMP versions have evolved twice since 1999 amendment of the Local Government Act, but this third proposed revision is exceptional in the	Duplication (and potential inconsistency) between the CLMP and other official documents of Council is reduced by providing links within the CLMP to those	This comment is a statement about the reduction in content within the draft CLMP.
	extent of its flaws. It is stripped of previous detailed content, which deeply	documents.	In response, further detail has been included within the body of the CLMP

Stakeholder	Summary of Comments Submitted	Administration Comment	Refinement Recommended
	compromises it. Its endorsement by the city council, the 'custodian' of much of the park lands (74 per cent), would represent one of the most profound		(rather than rely on embedded links) to complement the legislative requirements for the CLMP and policy positions for the Adelaide Park Lands.
	South Australian changes in park lands management direction via the CLMP since the passing of the Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005. (This Act features two statutory policies, one of which is the CLMP. The other is the Strategy.)		It is intended that Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy Update will now also include some of the information that has been removed from the existing CLMP's. No.
	Council's and subsequent ministerial endorsement of this proposed draft would represent a triumph of 2023 government administrative convenience – not for protection of the integrity of the park lands landscapes, but for state government or commercial built-form exploitation and benefit	A CLMP sets out Council's approach to using and managing community land on behalf of the community. Development is assessed under the State Government's state-wide Planning and Design Code (not the CLMP).	This comment outlines concerns with the Adelaide Park Lands being lost to State Government development and private/commercial buildings. In response, 'commercial' has been replaced with 'Business' in the Objective, Targets and Measures. Wording in the Introduction and
			Objectives for Management has been amended to strengthen the purpose for which the Adelaide Park Lands are held.
	This CLMP draft also proposes a breach of section 19 of the Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005 because with respect to the wording and intention relating to Park 2 it is inconsistent with the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy 2015–25. That statutory policy document does not contemplate demolishing the existing	Under the Local Government Act 1999, a management plan should (as far as practicable) be consistent with other relevant official plans and policies about conservation, development and use of the land. In the event of an inconsistency an official plan or policy under another	This comment talks to a breach of the Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005 as the current Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy does not contemplate the demolition and development of a new aquatic centre.

Stakeholder	Summary of Comments Submitted	Administration Comment	Refinement Recommended
	aquatic centre or creating an alternative (new) centre in another place in Park 2.	Act prevails to the extent of the inconsistency. The Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy 2015-25 (APLMS) provides a key move within the North Park Lands Precinct of 'Continue to enhance the Adelaide Aquatic Centre to meet community expectations. Generate a greater focus on servicing the play space and picnicking areas through increased offerings and better access to the existing commercial operations'.talks to continuing to enhance the Adelaide Aquatic Centre to meet community expectations; and optimising the role of the Aquatic Centre as a state-wide sporting destination. A new Aquatic Centre was not envisaged in 2015 when the current APLMS was written. State Government has undertaken a Code Amendment under the Planning, Development and Infrastructure Act 2016, in relation to Park 2 which sets policies for development of the land.	Other relevant considerations fall under the <i>Planning Development and Infrastructure Act 2016</i> , including the State Government's Code Amendment and public announcements regarding the Adelaide Aquatic Centre. In response, the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy is currently being updated and will reflect the State Government's Adelaide Aquatic Centre development. No.
	There are compelling reasons to comprehensively reject this draft.	Refinements to the draft CLMP are proposed in response to the consultation and comments seeking to ensure effectiveness and clarity of policy in the CLMP.	This comment is a summary statement of the submission that does not support the draft CLMP.

Stakeholder	Summary of Comments Submitted	Administration Comment	Refinement Recommended
Adelaide Park Lands Association	Letter of support provided for the submission covered above from an individual community member.	As per above and Appendix B.	A number of refinements have been made in response to the issues raised in Appendix B.
			In response, further detail has been included within the body of the CLMP (rather than rely on embedded links) to complement the legislative requirements for the CLMP and policy positions for the Adelaide Park Lands.
			It is intended that Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy Update will now also include some of the information that has been removed from the existing CLMP's. No
Adelaide Community Sports and Recreation	Supports the objectives, policies and proposals relating to Park 21W that have been consolidated for all the Park Lands subject to the following amendment.	Noted	This statement provides general support to the draft CLMP subject to suggested refinements.
Association Inc	Suggested wording for T14.1 in bold. To support upgrades to community sports buildings (where viable) and construction of new community sports buildings that are fit for purpose, for community sport that accommodates future growth and increases the diversity of physical activity opportunities available to the community and which maximises community participation.	Noted – the CLMP does not need to be this prescriptive, this is an aspiration for the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy.	In response, supplementary detail is provided within Objective O15 and Target T15.1 for Sporting buildings and facilities, to include diversity of physical activity but not at the result in a net increase of built form footprint across the Adelaide Park Lands.

Stakeholder	Summary of Comments Submitted	Administration Comment	Refinement Recommended
	Suggested wording for A22.4 in bold Providing sporting fields and fit for purpose support facilities.	Noted – all redevelopments in the Park Lands will need to satisfy the Adelaide Park Lands Building Design Guidelines 2020 which will ensure developments are fit for purpose.	In response, supplementary detail is provided within Objective O15 and Target T15.1 for Sporting buildings and facilities to support the provision of community sports buildings and facilities that are fit for purpose. All redevelopments in the Park Lands will need to satisfy the Adelaide Park Lands Building Design Guidelines 2020 which will ensure developments are fit for purpose.
	 The Concept Plan for the proposed community sports building should be reflected in the CLMP – specifically the approved location of the new Community Sports Building. CLMP does not reflect the proposed location of cricket nets in the northwest corner. The CLMP should be amended to reflect the Concept Plan. 	 Future upgrades to the community sports building are expected. These upgrades may be reflected on CLMP mapping in the future. The location of the Cricket nets is yet to be approved. 	The draft CLMP references the Council endorsed Concept Plan. No
	Subject to the above-mentioned amendments, support is given for the CLMP.	Noted	This comment provides conditional support subject to the recommended refinements.

Stakeholder	Summary of Comments Submitted	Administration Comment	Refinement Recommended
Individual Community Member (2)	Generally speaking, the draft CLMP provides a comprehensive overview of the Adelaide Park Lands (APL) management and sets the framework for individual Park CLMPs such as that for Victoria Park. I find it well-written and easy to follow. My comments relate to the document being a status report. It describes the current situation and does not identify or provide a way forward for the APL's most pressing issues. In my opinion, the areas requiring addressing are the management of the APL, their funding and the lack of data: Each of these issues could be addressed in the final CLMP.	Noted – The Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy is the strategic document that informs future opportunities and planning for the Park Lands.	This is an opening statement that talks to the draft CLMP being easy to read and follow. The suggested amendments relate to funding and data for the Adelaide Park Lands which are being considered as part of the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy Update. No
	 Improved Management The draft CLMP outlines the existing management of APL. Unfortunately, the tension between institutional uses and public open space, evident since 1850, has not disappeared. The Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005 (the Act) has not delivered the required management structure to enable the APL to be cooperatively managed despite the best efforts. Recent events suggest that the current management framework for the ADL is not working, resulting in views such as the state government 	Noted – the CLMP is intended to outline the existing management of the Park Lands. The Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy is the strategic document that informs future opportunities and planning for the Park Lands. The Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005 is the highest order of protection for the Adelaide Park Lands. The CLMP is required to reflect State Government proposals in the Park Lands.	The submission talks to the overall governance of the Adelaide Park Lands and suggests alternative options such as a corporation. It does not request specific amendments to the CLMP. The suggested amendments are being considered as part of the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy Update. No

Stakeholder	Summary of Comments Submitted	Administration Comment	Refinement Recommended
	using legislation to achieve its aims. Examples include the raft of state government infrastructure projects in APL, such as the Women and Children's Hospital. In addition, a growing program of major events that impact upon other users of APL.		
	An option may lie in SECRA's response to the Expert Committee looking at the Planning and Design Code, suggesting that a new paradigm is required to meet this ongoing challenge. In the 1970s, an option was developed that grants natural entities such as the APL's a similar legal status to corporations, with three primary rights: legal standing, to enter contracts and to take legal action to protect themselves.		
	Examples have occurred in Victoria (Yarra River) and New Zealand (Te Urewera and Whanganui River). This paradigm allows indigenous and local residents to change their focus from what they want from the park and begin to ask what they want for the park and how we get there with the park.		
	Better Funding	Noted – the City of Adelaide has previously received funding through the	The submission talks to the funding model for the Adelaide Park Lands and

Stakeholder	Summary of Comments Submitted	Administration Comment	Refinement Recommended
Stakeholder	 The investment of the City of Adelaide in the APL is high, not only in recurrent costs but also in the fixed costs of land and infrastructure. The expenditure for the APL is likely to increase. Some of the drivers include population change, seasonal factors, vehicle ownership rates, and the impact of climate change. As ratepayers and businesses largely support the stewardship of the APL, additional ongoing financial support is required from other sources in the context of budget constraints. The Adelaide Park Lands Fund is one such source, and the other is the Planning and Development Fund' which assists 'councils in the provision and development of public land for conservation and recreation'. This latter fund receives significant contributions from developers in the City of Adelaide, which could assist in financing the ongoing maintenance of the Park Lands. As a ratepayer, I request that the City of Adelaide fully explore the availability and use of these funds. 	Planning and Development Fund for enhancements to the Park Lands. Council will continue to explore and seek funding opportunities moving forward.	requests Council explore funding options additional to rates. The Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy Update will consider funding opportunities for the Adelaide Park lands, such as State Government and philanthropy. Ne

Stakeholder	Summary of Comments Submitted	Administration Comment	Refinement Recommended
	 Many commendable reports address specific issues relating to the APL. However, there should be a transparent and coordinated data set, given their role as 'the city's crowning glory'. For example, while there are reports, plans and strategies on monitoring the use, biodiversity and heritage, water use, infrastructure and active transport, for instance, there is no single comprehensive report publicly available where all this information is integrated to provide an overall view of the APL. 	Noted – the centralisation of data sets is more aligned to the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy and the City Plan.	The City Plan (in development) will be a tool for publicly displaying data layers. Measures provided in Table 1. are proposed to be reported through the City of Adelaide Annual Report, City User Profile and other surveys. Improved data is being developed as part of the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy Update. No
	 The current data is also incomplete, with the acknowledgement that further studies are required but lacking a forward plan or budget. Additionally, in the draft CLMP, there is no commitment to report on measures outlined in Table 1. 		
	I commend your efforts to provide an overarching document on the APL. I hope that you find three these observations useful.	Noted	This is a statement in general support for the draft CLMP. No

Stakeholder	Summary of Comments Submitted	Administration Comment	Refinement Recommended
The North Adelaide Society Inc	Invites reconsideration of the introductory and contextual remarks on page 1. TNAS questions whether the Adelaide Park Lands (APL) are (only) "for all South Australians". While that is axiomatic, the assertion regrettably devalues its contribution, not least because it is also for visitors and future generations; and detracts from efforts to seek world heritage and state heritage listing.	Noted – Proposed refinements to include "visitors and future generations"	In response, further improvements to the Introduction have been made to reflect community consultation feedback. Yes
	TNAS suggests that to assert that their "uses landscapes [and] values are as diverse as the people who enjoy them" detracts from, and diminishes, the APL as publicly accessible parklands, albeit that parklands may be utilised for diverse uses consistent with the land being, and remaining, parklands.	Noted – this is implied in the Draft CLMP	In response, further improvements to the Introduction have been made to reflect community consultation feedback.
	TNAS suggests that parklands are purposefully a feature of "urban life", rather than as a "place to escape the rigours of urban life". Certainly, parklands provide a natural environment conducive to wellbeing within urban built form; urban life is not per se "rigorous" and requiring "escape".	Noted	In response, wording in the Introduction has been supplemented to reflect the role of the Adelaide Park Lands for the City of Adelaide and as the capital city of South Australia.
	TNAS supports the APL as "green open space" but respectfully suggests that qualitative attribute is not confined to	Noted	In response, this wording has been removed and the wording in Introduction and Objectives for management have been supplemented to strengthen the purpose for which the Adelaide Park

Stakeholder	Summary of Comments Submitted	Administration Comment	Refinement Recommended
	"those who are already here" or "may encourage others".		Lands are held; and includes a statement for providing Park Lands for future generations. No
	TNAS outlines that a CLMP does not "ensure" what is asserted in the draft, and the "public benefit" extends beyond "the people of South Australia". Respectfully, an opening contextual statement ought to focus on what the Adelaide Park Lands are and represent both to the City of Adelaide and Adelaide as a capital city of South Australia. In that regard, the matters addressed at para 5.2 are partially instructive.	Noted - Proposed refinements to include "visitors and future generations"	In response, wording in the Introduction and Objectives for management have been refined to strengthen the purpose for which the Adelaide Park Lands are held. The Introduction reflects the role of the Adelaide Park Lands for the City of Adelaide and as the capital city of South Australia. Yes
	TNAS offers the following draft as an example of an introductory and contextual statement that is consistent with para 5.2 and sets the tone for all that follows. • The Adelaide Park Lands (APL) are a defining and iconic feature and landmark of the City of Adelaide and its layout, for which it is nationally and internationally recognised to the benefit of South Australia. They are first and foremost parklands to be nurtured, used, and valued as publicly accessible parklands with cultural and historical significance.	Noted – this is broadly covered under section 4 – Setting the Context.	Wording in the Introduction and Objectives for management have been refined to strengthen the purpose for which the Adelaide Park Lands are held. The wording in the Introduction now reflects the suggested wording in this comment. No

Stakeholder	Summary of Comments Submitted	Administration Comment	Refinement Recommended
	Part 2 includes some legislative references that operate or impact the CLMP. Submission requests that: in addition to the state legislation to which it refers, it ought also to include reference to applicable Commonwealth legislation that operates or impacts the CLMP, namely the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (Commonwealth) (EPBC Act);	Noted – this is more aligned to the Council's Integrated Biodiversity Management Plan which is accessed from the CLMP via a linked document. The Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (Commonwealth) is listed in the draft CLMP as relevant legislation.	In response, further detail has been provided within Section 7.3 to provide greater detail regarding the EPBC Act, including a summary of the National Heritage Values which are values for the Adelaide Park Lands and the City Layout.
	To the extent that state legislation has been effected, or decisions have been made by state or local government, to alter the characterization of any land within the historically delineated Adelaide Park Lands, that ought to be the subject of a footnote or endnote because it is part of the context in which the community land is being managed	Noted – this information is broadly available on Council's Online Community Land Register – which provides information about Park Lands Custodianship, Lease, License.	This comment seeks to provide data/details of changes to the characterisation of the Adelaide Park Lands – this may include built form and roads ways. Detailed history of the development of the Adelaide Park Lands can made available through other means such as the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy or through Adelaide Park Lands data and insights. This is not the role of the CLMP.
	Part 3 refers to "State Authorities" being required to develop "state managed areas excluded from this CLMP". The CLMP should include in a footnote or endnote whether and what plans have been developed, and what excluded areas do not have a management plan.	Noted – Council does not maintain a register of State Management Plans for the Park Lands, the monitoring of these plans is the responsibility of the relevant State Government Minister.	This comment requests the inclusion of reference to State Government Management plans for the Adelaide Park Lands that the State manages. These management plans fall under Section 20 of the Adelaide Park Lands

Stakeholder	Summary of Comments Submitted	Administration Comment	Refinement Recommended
			Act 2005 and are the responsibility of the State Government.
	The CLMP must in its intent, expression and operation in all respects be committed to the protection and enhancement of the Adelaide Park Lands as a globally recognised park system which surrounds and permeates the city and remains central to its identity for all time.	Noted -This is broadly reflected in the purpose in which the Park Lands are held. Refinements have been made to include 'protect and enhance'	In response, wording in the Introduction and Objectives for management has been supplemented to strengthen the purpose for which the Adelaide Park Lands are held. Yes
	The statutory principles cited from the APL Act operate on their terms but are surely not the only purpose for which the	Noted The Purpose for which the Adelaide Park Lands are held has been amended to:	In response, the wording from the current CLMP has been inserted in Section 5.1 to address this comment.
	Adelaide Park Lands are held.	The purpose for which the Adelaide Park Lands is held is to provide benefit to the people of South Australia by being publicly accessible and supporting a diverse range of environmental, natural heritage, cultural, recreational and social values and activities, providing a defining feature to the City of Adelaide, and contributing to the economic and social well-being of the city.	The 'Purpose for which the Adelaide Park Lands are Held' is based on the existing CLMP's. No
		This wording is consistent with the existing CLMP.	
		The draft CLMP references the statutory principles of the Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005, in stating the overall purpose for	

Stakeholder	Summary of Comments Submitted	Administration Comment	Refinement Recommended
		which the Adelaide Park Lands (as a system) are held.	
		To provide benefit to the people of South Australia by being publicly accessible green open space	
		To support a diverse range of environmental, natural heritage, cultural and social values and activities	
		To support outdoor and community based recreational activities and events	
		To provide a defining feature to the City of Adelaide	
		To contribute to the economic and social wellbeing of the city.	
		In addition to the overall purpose for which the Adelaide Park Lands are held, each park may have a specific purpose for which it is held, outlined in the specific park management section (Section 11) of the CLMP.	
	A raft of additional purposes ought to be included arising from the experiences of historical custodianship, possession, and management and control of the park	Noted. Refinements are proposed to include a number of the suggested elements.	This comment seeks inclusion of additional purposes for which the Adelaide Park Lands are held.
	lands. For example, those purposes ought to include, historical, cultural, spatial, a 'city in a park', 'lungs of the city', 'verdant entry statements' for the City of Adelaide, human and ecosystem	these are aspirations that can be included in the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy	In response, the wording from the current CLMP has been inserted in Section 5.1 to address this comment.

Stakeholder	Summary of Comments Submitted	Administration Comment	Refinement Recommended
	wellbeing, reduction and non-expansion of "footprint", prevention of incremental or gross reduction of the Adelaide Park Lands as parklands, rehabilitation, sustainability, evapotranspiration and spatial configuration of vegetation, return of previously sequestrated land to the park lands, intergenerational benefit, and always publicly accessible.		The 'Purpose for which the Adelaide Park Lands area Held' is based on the existing CLMP's. No
	The CLMP should include the definition of "footprint" as it appears in the Adelaide Park Lands Building Design Guidelines	Noted – the CLMP contains a link to the Adelaide Park Lands Building Design Guidelines	This comment seeks the inclusion of a definition of 'footprint – with regards to built form. In response, the Objectives, Targets, and Measures relating to Sporting buildings and facilities and Park Lands maintenance buildings now reference built form footprint. The Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy will provide definitions for a number of commonly used Adelaide Park Lands terms. No
	Reducing heat by protecting trees, helping to mitigate the Urban Heat Island effect of surrounding urban areas due to increased urbanisation and density within the Adelaide CBD and North Adelaide in the last few years.	Noted – this is included within the Council's Integrated Biodiversity Management Plan which is accessed from the CLMP via a linked document.	This is a statement that talks to the Adelaide Park Lands responding to urban heat. Climate resilience is addressed in the Introduction and Objectives, Targets and Measures relating to Gardens and open space.

Stakeholder	Summary of Comments Submitted	Administration Comment	Refinement Recommended
			No
	Supporting biodiversity of plants and animals and ensuring ongoing planting to replace trees and land lost to built form.	Noted – this is included within the Council's Integrated Biodiversity Management Plan which is accessed from the CLMP via a linked document.	This is a statement that talks to the enhancement of biodiversity and tree replacement.
			The draft CLMP includes an Objective, Target and Measure relating to protecting and enhancing biodiversity and the management of trees to ensure a mix of tree species that are healthy, structurally sound and climate resilient.
	Ensuring there is open space for residents of nearby high density developments which increasingly have less private open space.	Noted - This is more aligned to the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy and the City Plan	This is a statement that's seeks to ensure the increasing population in and around the city have access to open space.
			The Introduction is updated to reference maintaining the Adelaide Park Lands' integrity against pressure from major buildings and development.
			This comment will be considered and addressed through the Adelaide Park Lands Management Update Strategy and City Plan.
	Every day that motorists, passengers, and others move along transport corridors into the City of Adelaide and its central business areas, they get the benefit and use of the park lands as a	Noted - This is more aligned to the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy	This comment seeks the acknowledgement of the Adelaide Park Lands providing an urban address to

Stakeholder	Summary of Comments Submitted	Administration Comment	Refinement Recommended
	verdant green belt around and intersecting with the otherwise urban (and often heritage and urban) built form.		people entering / passing through the Adelaide Park Lands to the City.
			In response, further detail has been included within the body of the CLMP to acknowledge the overall role and significance of the Adelaide Park Lands.
			This comment is aligned with the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy Update and provision of an 'Urban Address'.
	The table is based on "Category" for which there does not appear to be any logical sequence.	Noted - There is no set order in how this may be laid out	This comment seeks to re-order the overarching Objectives, Targets and Measures table.
	The table could list categories alphabetically, or based on primary use (e.g., parklands, greenspace, natural form, incidental art), other uses (e.g., built form, public art, public facilities, cultural, Kaurna), and		In response, the draft CLMP includes a note that the Objectives, Targets and Measures are not listed in any particular order.
	discouraged uses (e.g., non-ancillary carparking).		There is no set requirement under the Local Government Act 1999 as to how the Objectives, Targets and Measures are ordered. No
	Car parking per se ought not to be included in the category of public facilities.	Noted - Car Parking that supports the use of the Park Lands are considered to be public facilities.	This comment seeks the removal of car parking from being classified as 'public facilities'.

Stakeholder	Summary of Comments Submitted	Administration Comment	Refinement Recommended
			Car parking is included so it can be considered in the management approach.
	The categories appear to be focused on tangibles and activities rather than the inherent and often intangible nature and values of the Adelaide Park Lands as a whole, and its constituent parks and squares.	Noted	In response, a summary of the National Heritage List values have been inserted in Section 7.3, the full list of values and criteria continue to be listed in Appendix B.
	The categories should be extended to include cultural and Kaurna considerations, as well as their future and inter-generational utility as parklands.	Noted – Council is working with Kaurna Yerta Aboriginal Corporation (KYAC) to include further cultural considerations	Council will continue to work with Kaurna Yerta Aboriginal Corporation (KYAC) to ensure further cultural considerations are included within the CLMP and as part of the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy Update. In response, the Draft CLMP has been amended to include 'Cultural Practices' as a category in section 10.
	The objectives should include no more incremental or gross reduction and reinstatement of past policy to shift state government activities out of the Park Lands, and to reinstate former Park Land as such.	Noted - These comments more aligned to the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy and general Council policy. The CLMP should include information about the usage and management of what currently exists or is proposed to exist, not aspirational targets.	In response, the Targets, Objectives and Measures relating to Sporting buildings and facilities and Park Land Maintenance buildings now reference built form footprint.

Stakeholder	Summary of Comments Submitted	Administration Comment	Refinement Recommended
	The objectives, targets, and measures, where they involve built form or non-natural surfaces, should:		
	specifically refer to low scale minimal impact ecologically sensitive and carbon neutral design, construction, and presentation, with no impairment or removal of trees (whether "significant" or otherwise) or understory; and pathways that blend into the parkland setting (e.g., the City of Adelaide could trial and develop capabilities for rammed earth or soft footfall pathways).		
	specify that built form that is not in the nature of being very minor, temporary, or ancillary to a low scale/impact parkland use is not to be built or located on parklands, or on land that was historically or previously parklands.		
	specify that wherever possible, the "footprint" of a structure, and any "hardstand area", should be reduced.		
	define 'fit for purpose' to be in respect of its location and context within a natural or parklands setting.		
	The park lands wide statements in the current CLMP ought to be reflected or included within this part of the draft CLMP, and park specific content ought to be read in the context of park lands wide statements.	Noted – park specific content is in the context of Park Lands wide statements	In response, a number of Park Land Wide statements from the existing CLMP have been incorporated into the Introduction to the draft CLMP.

Stakeholder	Summary of Comments Submitted	Administration Comment	Refinement Recommended
	Part 10 should be consistent with the terms and intent of the introductory and contextual statement indicated above (re: page 1).	Noted	In response, wording within Introduction and Objectives for management has been supplemented to strengthen the purpose for which the Adelaide Park Lands are held and reflects the items within Part 10.
	Subject to consideration and applicability of the content of the Park Lands Overlay in the Planning and Design Code, there should be some reasonable affinity as between that Overlay and the content of Part 10 "Overarching", unless the PDC does not sufficiently reflect this Council's custodianship and the historical experience and intent of the City of Adelaide to not to diminish the size, natural form, or purpose of the Adelaide Park Lands.	Noted - The CLMP should avoid confusion with the Planning and Design Code or Overlays	In response, the Targets, Objectives and Measures relating to Sporting buildings and facilities and Park Land Maintenance buildings now reference built form footprint.
	The description of the land, location and history should precede any current use.	Noted – there is no set criteria in which information should be ordered	This comment seeks to re-order the current structure of each annexure.
		Section 196(3) of the Local Government Act 1999 states;	
		(a) identify the land to which it applies; and	
		(b) state the purpose for which the land is held by the council	
		There is no requirement to outline the history of the land.	

Stakeholder	Summary of Comments Submitted	Administration Comment	Refinement Recommended
	The purpose to which each park is put is as a park within the Adelaide Park Lands. The current use should not be described as its "primary" or "predominant" use. The "primary" or "predominant" use of each park within the Park Lands is as a park within the Adelaide Park Lands. It may currently be used for a particular activity, e.g., golf course, vegetation rehabilitation, wetlands, but that remains a use on a part – or park – within the Adelaide Park Lands.	Noted - the Draft CLMP includes a specific purpose for each park and also include a reference to 5.2 Overall purpose for which the Adelaide Park Lands are held	In response, the Draft CLMP now includes a specific purpose for each park and includes a reference to Section 5.2 Overall purpose for which the Adelaide Park Lands are held.
	The current use/s of a park (or part) is not the purpose for which the community land is held, that is a "use" or there are uses that occur within that park. The "objective" is not to "provide" the "use/s", rather it is to permit the use/s to occur.	Noted – this is covered by 5.2 which are broad purposes for which the Adelaide Park Lands are held. The Draft CLMP includes a specific 'Purpose for which the land is held'.	In response, each Annexure within the draft CLMP now includes the 'Purpose for which the land is held'.
	That "ownership" is not required to be specified and there may be good reasons in the interests of the City of Adelaide that it make no such statement, which does not appear in the current CLMP.	Noted – Council have included this additional information for clarity The Local Government Act 1999 requires the identification of ownership if the land is not in the Council's ownership. The Adelaide Park lands are owned by the Crown in the right of the State.	The statement seeks to reduce duplication in the CLMP of the 'ownership' of the land in each annexure. Council is required to list the ownership for each Park. No

Stakeholder	Summary of Comments Submitted	Administration Comment	Refinement Recommended
		The Adelaide Park Lands are then vested in or under the care, control and management of the Corporation of the City of Adelaide.	
	Similarly in respect of "custodian". In any event, there is no reason to make any such repeated statement in each annexure, given that the CLMP deals with purpose and applicable statutes.	Noted – the repetition allows readers to read a single Annexure	As per the above comment, the Corporation of the City of Adelaide is the custodian of the Adelaide Park Lands.
	The content of appendixes seems to be somewhat too broad and be somewhat less specific and useful compared with that of the current CLMP.	Noted – the information included in the Draft CLMP is in accordance with <i>Local Government Act 1999</i>	This comment suggests that appendices in the draft CLMP do not match the appendices in the existing CLMPS.
		The appendixes included in the Draft CLMP are the same appendices included in the 'General Provisions' of the existing CLMP, apart from the removal of Appendix D - Dog Management Map (which is provided on Councils Community Land Register Web Map). There are no appendices for each Park	The appendices in the Draft CLMP are the same as the existing CLMP with the exception of the Dog Management Map which is provided on Councils Community Land Register Web Map.
		in the existing CLMP. The appendices in the Draft CLMP include	
		Appendix A – Principles from the Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005	
		Appendix B – National Heritage List – Official Values Appendix C – Likelihood of impact of	
		works on the National Heritage Values	

Stakeholder	Summary of Comments Submitted	Administration Comment	Refinement Recommended
	The broad statements are not conducive to community based expectations or understandings and too often result in unforeseen, unexpected, or detrimental consequences	Noted	A number of Park Land Wide statements from the existing CLMP have now been introduced into the Introduction of the draft CLMP.
	There is much to be said for much of the content in the various chapters of the current CLMP applicable to particular parks or parts of parks, which ought for the sake of clarity be replicated or adopted in the D-APLCLMP.	Much of the information provided in the existing CLMP'S was replicated in the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy under the Spatial Planning section which provides precinct plans and plans for individual Parks. The Draft CLMP proposes the removal of information that is otherwise contained in other Council documents.	This is a comment about the overall content and approach to the draft CLMP. Supplementary information from the current CLMP has been provided for all Parks to provide further context. No
	The annexure should not include any policy statement of support for any policy position of any other level of government, which come and go as do their policies. The adoption or imposition of a state or federal government policy or intent ought not be determinative of the content of a CLMP.	Noted – the CLMP provides for the management of community land including for State/Federal outcomes that have been approved outside of Council's authority.	This comment seeks to remove any policy support or Council position on State Government development in the Adelaide Park Lands. The Draft CLMP reflects future planned and approved State Government development as this is required under the Local Government Act 1999.
	There should be added to each annexure a statement that the vegetation (trees and understorey) is to be retained	Noted – this is broadly the role of the Council's Integrated Biodiversity	This comment talks to the protection of trees and vegetation.

Stakeholder	Summary of Comments Submitted	Administration Comment	Refinement Recommended
	and added to irrespective of whether "significant" or otherwise	Management Plan which is accessed from the CLMP via a linked document	This is broadly covered in Objectives 5, 6 and 7 in Overarching Objectives, Targets and Measures.
	Vegetation in each park ought to be the subject of an audit and periodic 'vegetation stocktakes' (at least once in the review period of a CLMP).	Noted – this is broadly the role of the Council's Integrated Biodiversity Management Plan which is accessed from the CLMP via a linked document.	The Objective, Target and Measure for Trees and Gardens and open space references Council's Asset Management Plans.
	Interestingly, the D-APLCLMP does not appear to reflect or acknowledge that everyday tens of thousands of people move through the Adelaide Park Lands Park Land while travelling to and from the City Centre, which provides an uplifting natural vista and landscape that would not otherwise be available	Noted – this comment is more closely aligned to the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy. The Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy refers to Urban Address, which provides the natural landscape entry statements into the City.	This comment seeks greater acknowledgement of the role of the Adelaide Park Lands. Supplementary information has been included into the introduction to reflect community consultation feedback. No
	Maps ought not be misleading in their colouring and presentation. Park Lands are coloured 'green' yet much of what is 'green' is not in fact greenery or natural form, it is built form or hard surfaces, which ought to be indicated as such (to do otherwise risks 'greenwashing').	Noted	This comment suggests that the maps in the draft CLMP are misleading due to the use of the colour green – which implies all of the Park Lands are 'green' and natural. The purpose of these maps i.e Figure 1 is not to illustrate the landscape typology or built form but to indicate the area that is included in the CLMP.
	Maps ought to be dated and factually represent what is 'green' (i.e., of natural form) and publicly accessible as	Noted – this is outside the scope of a CLMP but is broadly provided in Councils online Community Land	This comment suggests that a map showing public accessibility of the Park Lands should be included in the CLMP.

Stakeholder	Summary of Comments Submitted	Administration Comment	Refinement Recommended
	parklands within the area delineated as "Park Land" and what is not. To do otherwise is to put form over substance.	Register which shows the areas and buildings of the Park Lands which are under lease/license.	This information is provided on the Council's Community Land Register Web Maps which provide information about areas under lease and license and are publicly available.
	That issue does not arise when the map is an aerial picture of the park, which should include the source and date.	Noted	This comment seeks changes to map labelling.
			Maps labels have been amended to now contain a source and year. No
	Where a built form is located on a park, the CLMP should include the extent of its footprint and other structural dimensions, which should be depicted on the	Noted	This comment requests-built form square meterage for each building in the Park Lands.
	applicable map.		This information is not required to be included in a CLMP. Council will seek to provide this through the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy through an online mapping tool. No
	Too often there is inconsistency between verbiage and conduct, between policy statements and implementation or action. This is no less an issue in relation	Noted – the Draft CLMP includes policy position regarding the need for replacement of existing buildings to be contextual and high quality and not	This is a general comment regarding the need for rejuvenation of vegetation and plants in place of built form.
	to the Adelaide Park Lands, the more so when it comes to retaining, regaining, and rejuvenating parklands vegetation	increase overall built form.	Supplementary information has been included to Section 10 to outline that the replacement of an existing building does

Stakeholder	Summary of Comments Submitted	Administration Comment	Refinement Recommended
	and canopy in place of built form and hard surfaces.		not increase the overall building footprint across the Adelaide Park Lands.
	Appropriate particularity and detail in the CLMP would enhance understanding, implementation, and accountability, and reduce the risk of diminution (as opposed to improvement) of the Adelaide Park Lands	Noted – the Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005 and the Adelaide Park Lands Zone in the Planning and Design Code provides the legislation to stop/reduce regulate the risk of the diminution of the Adelaide Park Lands as well as the National Heritage Listing of the Adelaide Park Lands and City Layout.	This comment is seeking further detail regarding the understanding and accountability of the reduction in size of the Adelaide Park Lands. Supplementary information has been provided in the Draft CLMP to further outline the importance of the Adelaide Park lands and the City Layout being maintained. The Adelaide Park Lands Strategy Update will include further information regarding this, including 'potential return area's which would seek to return areas of Adelaide Park Lands which have been used for 'non-Park Land purposes'.

APPENDIX B - RESPONSE TO INDIVIDUAL COMMUNITY SUBMISSION (1)

RESPONSE TO SUBMISSION TITLED 'SUBMISSION: ADELAIDE CITY COUNCIL PROPOSED REVISION OF THE COMMUNITY LAND MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR THE ADELAIDE PARK LANDS ZONE' DATED 30 JULY 2023 and 6 AUGUST 2023 (LATE SUBMISSION)

Note: Due to the length of this submission, this submission has been summarised in Appendix A under Individual Community Submission (1).

30 JULY 2023 Submission

Verbatim Comments	Administrative Comment	Refinement Recommended		
Summary				
If this disturbing proposed revision of the Adelaide Park Lands Community Land Management Plan (CLMP) is adopted it will result in the effective compromising of the purpose and policy efficacy of one of the two key statutory policy documents required under the Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005 to guide park lands zone management direction. The gutted (and in parts highly ambiguous) version proposed by the city council in July 2023 would usher in a new and egregious period of state government and commercial exploitation of the park lands.	Noted - Refinements to the draft CLMP are proposed in response to the consultation and comments seeking to ensure effectiveness and clarity of policy in the CLMP.	This is an opening statement and does not propose any specific amendments to the draft CLMP. Supplementary information has been provided in the Draft CLMP with the aim of strengthening the objectives and policy positions for the Adelaide Park Lands. Yes, refer to specific policy refinements.		
Park lands CLMP versions have evolved twice since 1999 amendment of the Local Government Act, but this third proposed revision is exceptional in the extent of its flaws. It is stripped of previous detailed content, which deeply compromises it. Its endorsement by the city council, the 'custodian' of much of the park lands (74 per cent), would represent one of the most	Noted - Duplication (and potential inconsistency) between the CLMP and other official documents of Council is reduced by providing links within the CLMP to those documents. There was direct duplication of information from the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy and the existing CLMP.	This is a statement that outlines dissatisfaction with the removal of information in the draft CLMP when compared to the existing CLMP. Supplementary information has been provided in the Draft CLMP with the aim of strengthening the objectives and policy positions for the Adelaide Park Lands.		

Verbatim Comments	Administrative Comment	Refinement Recommended
profound South Australian changes in park lands management direction via the CLMP since the passing of the Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005. (This Act features two statutory policies, one of which is the CLMP. The other is the Strategy.)	The appropriate information has been retained in order to address the requirements of the Local Government Act 1999. Much of the information that has been removed was either historical information which is not required by the Local Government Act 1999 or was more relevant to the future planning of the Park Lands through the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy.	The Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy Update will include some of the information that has been removed from the existing CLMP's. No
Council's and subsequent ministerial endorsement of this proposed draft would represent a triumph of 2023 government administrative convenience – not for protection of the integrity of the park lands landscapes, but for state government or commercial built-form exploitation and benefit.	Noted - A CLMP sets out Council's approach to using and managing community land on behalf of the community. Development is assessed under the State Government's state-wide Planning and Design Code (not the CLMP). The Adelaide Park Lands Zone within the Planning and Design Code, the Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005 and the National Heritage Listing are the mechanisms that provide for the protection of the Adelaide Park Lands. The CLMP outlines how the land will be managed.	This is a statement outlining dissatisfaction if the draft CLMP is endorsed by Council and the Minister. Supplementary information has been provided in the Draft CLMP with the aim of strengthening the objectives and policy positions for the Adelaide Park Lands No
This CLMP draft also proposes a breach of section 19 of the Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005 because with respect to the wording and intention relating to Park 2 it is inconsistent with the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy 2015–25. That statutory policy document does not	Noted - Under the <i>Local Government Act</i> 1999, a management plan should (as far as practicable) be consistent with other relevant official plans and policies about conservation, development and use of the land. In the event of an inconsistency an official plan or	This is a statement which outlines the requirement for consistency between the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy and CLMP. Other relevant considerations fall under the Planning Development and Infrastructure Act

Verbatim Comments	Administrative Comment	Refinement Recommended
contemplate demolishing the existing aquatic centre or creating an alternative (new) centre in another place in Park 2.	policy under another Act prevails to the extent of the inconsistency.	2016, including the State Government's Code Amendment and public announcements regarding the Adelaide
in another place in rank 2.	Strategy 2015-25 talks to continuing to enhance the Adelaide Aquatic Centre to meet community expectations; and optimising the role of the Aquatic Centre as a	Aquatic Centre.
		The Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy is currently being updated which will reflect the State Government's Adelaide Aquatic Centre development.
	State Government has undertaken a Code Amendment under the <i>Planning, Development and Infrastructure Act 2016</i> , in relation to Park 2 which sets policies for development of the land.	Ne
There are compelling reasons to comprehensively reject this draft.	Noted - Refinements to the draft CLMP are proposed in response to the consultation and comments seeking to ensure effectiveness and clarity of policy in the CLMP	This is a statement outlining dissatisfaction with the draft CLMP. It does not request specific amendments.
		Supplementary information has been provided in the Draft CLMP with the aim of strengthening the objectives and policy positions for the Adelaide Park Lands

Verbatim Comments	Administrative Comment	Refinement Recommended
CONTEXT		
It should be recorded that this July 2023 public consultation was conducted by the City of Adelaide as a matter of great urgency. Some reasons why are explored in this paper. The author of this submission has participated in many YourSay consultations over many years, and has always been notified, as a City of Adelaide ratepayer, ahead of each survey commencement date. However, in this case, a notification arrived four days short of the 31 July deadline. Discussions with city council elected members during the consultation period indicated that some also did not know that a 21-day YourSay survey period had been 'live' since 7 July, seeking feedback about a proposed major revision of this CLMP.	Noted - The Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005 requires a review of the CLMP at least once in every five years. The current review is overdue. Consultation is in accord with Council's Community Consultation Policy and outlined under the Stakeholder Consultation section of this report. Council (including Committees) considered a draft consolidated CLMP on: 21 June 2022 2 August 2022 3 August 2022 4 April 2023 11 April 2023 5 September 2023 Kadaltilla considered a draft CLMP on: 28 July 2022 29 February 2023 10 If the respondent is signed up to the monthly Your Say Adelaide newsletter, an additional prompt will have been received.	This is a statement outlining dissatisfaction with Councils consultation approach and timing. It does not propose an amendment to the draft CLMP. Council has undertaken the appropriate consultation on the draft CLMP which has been in draft form since June 2022 and has since included supplementary information after undertaking consultation. As an additional step Council invited respondents to make deputations to the City Planning, Development and Business Affairs Committee of Council. No

1. Six critiques of council's rationales for change

Of great concern at this particular date is that this draft CLMP is being urgently revised to enable and endorse an Adelaide park lands Park 2 state government development concept, rather than the concept needing to comply with the rigour of the existing (2013) CLMP version. In other words, the existing CLMP is to be replaced so that a new version can be adopted that endorses the development proposal at Park 2. (It is also being revised to progress several other park lands development projects or project concepts currently under contemplation.)

A number of explanatory rationales for change have been recently put forward by the city council. However, each is problematic.

For example:

Noted - The Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005 requires a review of the CLMP at least once in every five years. The current review is overdue.

Under the Local Government Act 1999, a management plan should (as far as practicable) be consistent with other relevant official plans and policies about conservation, development and use of the land. In the event of an inconsistency an official plan or policy under another Act prevails to the extent of the inconsistency.

The Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy 2015-25 talks to continuing to enhance the Adelaide Aquatic Centre to meet community expectations; and optimising the role of the Aquatic Centre as a state-wide sporting destination. It does not specifically envisage a new Aquatic Centre development.

State Government has undertaken a Code Amendment under the *Planning*, *Development and Infrastructure Act 2016*, in relation to Park 2 which sets policies for development of the land.

A proposed new Aquatic Centre development by the State Government in Park 2 will be assessed by the State Commission Assessment Panel against the State This is a statement outlining dissatisfaction with Council's approach to the draft CLMP and the alignment of the draft CLMP with the Adelaide Aquatic Centre redevelopment. It does not propose any amendments to the draft CLMP.

Council has undertaken the appropriate consultation on this draft CLMP which has been in draft form since June 2022 and has since included supplementary information after undertaking consultation.

The CLMP is required to reflect the approved State Government development of the Aquatic Centre.

No

	Government's state-wide Planning and Design Code (not the CLMP).	
a) This CLMP version does not deliver what council claims: 'A more wholistic management approach'. On the contrary, it delivers a significantly less wholistic	Noted - The draft CLMP provides extensive links to information contained in other documents rather than including extracts from these documents in the CLMP. The approach reduces the overall length of the CLMP, avoids inconsistency between documents when new versions are updated and supports compliance with the CLMP.	This is a statement that outlines dissatisfaction with the removal of information in the draft CLMP when compared to the existing CLMP.
approach. Extensive material previously contained in the existing (2013) CLMP for the Adelaide park lands has been jettisoned. Moreover, there is no presentation to		Further supplementary information has been provided with the aim of strengthening the objectives and policy positions for the Adelaide Park Lands.
consultation respondents of this former content, or discussion as to why it has been deleted.	The consultation webpage provided links to the current CLMP and Frequently Asked Questions including the question: 'Why has Council adopted a 'one plan' approach to the CLMP for the Adelaide Park Lands'.	The Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy Update will include some of the information that has been removed from the existing CLMP's.
	Much of the information that has been removed was either historical information which is not required by the <i>Local Government Act 1999</i> or was more relevant to the future planning of the Park Lands through the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy.	
b) Despite claims, it does not deliver 'a functional document'. By stripping the new draft of former content, it is unequivocally less functional, especially in terms of the	Noted - Duplication (and potential inconsistency) between the CLMP and other official documents of Council is reduced by providing links within the CLMP to those	This is a statement that outlines dissatisfaction with the removal of information in the draft CLMP when compared to the existing CLMP.
likely risks encouraged by ambiguous provisions, as well as the vital need for protecting the park lands from propositions that could lead to exploitation of the green	documents. The Adelaide Park Lands Zone within the Planning and Design Code, the Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005 and the National	Further supplementary information has been provided with the aim of strengthening the objectives and policy positions for the Adelaide Park Lands.
open spaces, and alienate the public from access to them. The lack of discussion	Heritage Listing are the mechanisms that	The Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy Update will include some of the

reference to 'contemplation' content of a CLMP is of particular concern.	provide for the protection of the Adelaide Park Lands.	information that has been removed from the existing CLMP's. No
c) It does not deliver 'Reduced duplication'. On the contrary, there is continuous duplication at every park or site reference (totalling 40 sites) of the dangerously ambiguous "Purpose of the park lands" statement, which reads: "Providing facilities, furniture and amenities ancillary to park uses". This appears to be on the spurious basis that this is principal purpose of the Adelaide park lands. This is simply false.	Noted - Duplication (and potential inconsistency) between the CLMP and other official documents of Council is reduced by providing links within the CLMP to those documents. The statutory principles of the Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005 envisage a diverse range of environmental, cultural, recreational and social values and activities, and contribution to economic and social wellbeing for South Australians.	This statement outlines dissatisfaction with the terms used in the draft CLMP. Supplementary information has been included to provide an overall purpose of the Adelaide Park Lands statement in Section 5 (5.1) of the draft CLMP In addition to the overall purpose statement, each Annexure includes a 'Purpose for which the land is held' statement. Section 10: Overarching Objectives, Targets and Measures For The Adelaide Park Lands provides guidance as to what the types of 'Public facilities, furniture and amenities' are envisaged to support the use of the Adelaide Park Lands. The 'Purpose for which the land is held' statement in each Annexure has been updated to be consistent with the Objective. No
d) There is no evidence provided that the draft replacement CLMP will deliver more efficient consideration by "the Adelaide Park Lands Authority, state government and council". The outcome would not be 'efficiency' but simply greater flexibility for administrators, and it would arise because so much of the original content has been	Noted - A CLMP sets out Council's approach to using and managing community land on behalf of the community. Much of the information that has been removed was either historical information which is not required by the <i>Local Government Act 1999</i> or was more relevant to the future planning of	This statement suggests the draft CLMP will provide too much flexibility and ambiguity for the management of the Adelaide Park Lands. Supplementary information has been included with the aim of strengthening the objectives and policy positions for the Adelaide Park Lands.

jettisoned, and new, highly ambiguous content has been proposed. Loss of the original material would ensure that development project proposals for the park lands would be even more easily legitimised at APLA contemplation stage and subsequent council, and later planning consent and development assessment stages (Council Assessment Panel or SCAP assessment). The proposed draft CLMP material is so dangerously ambiguous in parts that reference to it would encourage state planning park lands land-use determinations profoundly alien to the park lands green open spaces and landscapes. The key to this would be a new statement in the draft, replicated across all park sites, as the "purpose" words: "Providing facilities, furniture and amenities ancillary to park uses". Commercial, city council and state planners would be able to base their Council Assessment Panel or State Planning Assessment Panel rationales on this statement alone, because its repetition across the whole of the draft will be seen to be the core 'contemplation' of the CLMP for the next five years.	the Park Lands through the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy. Development is assessed under the State Government's state-wide Planning and Design Code (not the CLMP).	The CLMP is one document that must be considered when making decisions about the Adelaide Park Lands, further documents and policies that must be considered and provide guidance are outlined in section 7 and section 8 of the draft CLMP. No
e) The council's April 2023 CLMP draft document (the one currently subject to consultation in July), under the heading 'Opportunities', rationalises the 2023 draft procedure as a "stronger alignment of CLMP content and review processes with statutory requirements". The words "stronger	Noted - Duplication (and potential inconsistency) between the CLMP and other official documents of Council is reduced by providing links within the CLMP to those documents. Much of the information that has been removed was either historical information	This is a statement that outlines dissatisfaction with the removal of information in the draft CLMP when compared to the existing CLMP. The statement also suggests the draft CLMP is a flawed interpretation of the <i>Local Government Act 1999</i> .

alignment" are euphemisms for a fresh but flawed reinterpretation of provisions under the Local Government Act 1999 (section 196, (1a) to (3d)) to allow for delivery of a minimalist new document, stripped of former content, and reduced to (in many cases) oneline statements claiming to address those section 196 criteria. The rationale then states: "This includes a streamlined approach to the five-yearly review process so that it can be achieved within the statutory timeline." The rationale appears to be that, under current administration stress, process must trump content, therefore content must be stripped out of the existing CLMP, and any future CLMP, to meet future deadlines. But it is already too late for that with regard to this one. The LG Act 1999 deadline for review of the 2013 CLMP (every five years) has come and gone. The requirements under the legislation have not been met. So much for 'streamlining'.

which is not required by the *Local Government Act 1999* or was more relevant to the future planning of the Park Lands through the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy.

The current CLMPs have not met the fiveyear legislative review timeframe.

The proposed 2023 CLMP approach reduces the timeframe and resources required to deliver the comprehensive review programme by 2028.

Further supplementary information has been provided with the aim of strengthening the objectives and policy positions for the Adelaide Park Lands.

The Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy Update will include some of the information that has been removed from the existing CLMP's.

No

f) As noted in this submission's summary on page 1, with respect to proposals for Park 2, this draft proposes a breach of section19 of the Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005 because the Park 2 proposal is not consistent with the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy 2015–25, a requirement under the Act. That statutory policy document does not contemplate demolishing the existing aquatic centre or creating an alternative (new) centre in another place in Park 2. The existing Strategy version notes that the Park 2

Noted - Under the *Local Government Act* 1999, a management plan should (as far as practicable) be consistent with other relevant official plans and policies about conservation, development and use of the land. In the event of an inconsistency an official plan or policy under another Act prevails to the extent of the inconsistency.

The Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy 2015-25 talks to continuing to enhance the Adelaide Aquatic Centre to meet community expectations; and This comment relates to the proposal for Park 2 and the new Adelaide Aquatic Centre. It outlines the need for the CLMP to reflect the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy.

The Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy is currently being reviewed in accordance with the *Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005* – the review will include updates which reflect State Government developments such as the Adelaide Aquatic Centre.

aquatic centre could in future feature a "large hub around the aquatic centre", with various recreational features including "upgraded picnic facilities, kiosk/café and play spaces".1 But it does not aspire to any demolition of the existing commercial facility, or its replacement under a new lease by a major new construction project elsewhere in Park 2. In other words, the 'rationale for change' is based on a proposed breach of park lands legislation. The explicit wording of the proposal in the draft CLMP appears in Appendix 1 of this submission.

optimising the role of the Aquatic Centre as a state-wide sporting destination. It does not specifically envisage a new Aquatic Centre development.

State Government has undertaken a Code Amendment under the *Planning*, *Development and Infrastructure Act 2016*, in relation to Park 2 which sets policies for development of the land.

A proposed new Aquatic Centre development by the State Government in Park 2 will be assessed by the State Commission Assessment Panel against the State Government's state-wide Planning and Design Code (not the CLMP). In accordance with section 196(3)(c) and (196(3)(e)(ii) of the *Local Government Act* 1999, Council must state 'proposals for the management of the land' and provide specific information on the council's policies for the granting of leases or licences over any part of the park lands.

The draft CLMP does this by outlining the intent of a new Adelaide Aquatic Centre and a lease/license to the State Government

No

2. Introduction to submission themes

Key source document:

This response is based on the 'April 2023' YourSay CLMP draft, as supplied online on 7 July 2023 in conjunction with the YourSay consultation feedback form (as reproduced in Appendix 3 of this submission).

The City of Adelaide's proposal is an urgent attempt to create and have endorsed a significantly revised statutory park lands policy instrument, notwithstanding that the legal deadline for the CLMP review has already passed. It was a deadline set under the Local Government Act 1999 ('comprehensive review' every five years).

Noted — The CLMP was originally endorsed by Council for community consultation in 2022, however due to State Government developments within the Park Lands, that materially impact some Park Lands areas made refinements to reflect State Government decisions.

Noted - *The Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005* requires a review of the CLMP at least once in every five years. The current review is overdue.

Consultation is in accord with Council's Community Consultation Policy and outlined

This is a statement outlining dissatisfaction with the process in which the Council has drafted and consulted on the CLMP. It does not request specific amendments.

Council has undertaken the appropriate consultation on the draft CLMP which has been in draft form since June 2022 and has since made a number of refinements after undertaking consultation.

As an additional step Council invited respondents to make deputations to the City Planning, Development and Business Affairs Committee of Council.

That five-year period has passed. This indicates a breach of the Act.	under the Stakeholder Consultation section of this report.	Ne
	Council (including Committees) considered a draft consolidated CLMP on:	
	 21 June 2022 2 August 2022 9 August 2022 4 April 2023 11 April 2023 5 September 2023 12 September 2023 Kadaltilla considered a draft CLMP on: 	
	28 July 202223 February 202324 August 2023	
	The CLMP was originally endorsed by Council for community consultation in 2022, however due to State Government developments within the Park Lands, that materially impact some Park Lands areas made refinements to reflect State Government decisions.	
If endorsed, the draft would encourage major future damage to the landscape integrity of the Adelaide park lands. Its new brevity introduces comprehensive <u>ambiguity</u> , which	Noted - Refinements to the draft CLMP are proposed in response to the consultation and comments seeking to ensure effectiveness and clarity of policy in the CLMP.	This is a statement outlining dissatisfaction with the drafted CLMP and a view of increased ambiguity. It does not seek a specific amendment to the draft CLMP.
could significantly lead to the compromising of the rigour of existing management directions of the Adelaide park lands. This is a deeply flawed draft CLMP.	The Adelaide Park Lands Zone within the Planning and Design Code, the <i>Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005</i> and the National Heritage Listing are the mechanisms that	Further supplementary information has been provided with the aim of strengthening the objectives and policy positions for the Adelaide Park Lands.

	provide for the protection of the Adelaide Park Lands.	Yes, refer to specific policy refinements.
Key aspects		
 Critical explanatory background was lacking in the YourSay background material. There was inadequate explanation of the complexity of the legal and administrative purpose of a CLMP. No current version (ie the existing 2013 version) was provided to allow comparison by respondents to illustrate the substantial deletion of previous content, and extent of change proposed. This approach thus concealed what is a very substantial replacement of much of the former CLMP content. This reflects a disingenuous council procedure. There was no detailed discussion of critical related policy likely to emerge in a 2023 anticipated revision of the 30 Year Plan for Greater Adelaide, which may well inform likely future amendments to the CLMP for the Adelaide park lands zone – including this draft. 	 Noted - The consultation package included: Explanatory information Frequently Asked Questions Link to legislation Link to current CLMP documents Draft CLMP Link to other related projects including the Adelaide Aquatic Centre Redevelopment: Construction Licence and Lease Agreement (Denise Norton Park / Pardipardinyilla (Park 2)) Administration contact details for further information Submission form Multiple options to provide feedback. The 30 Year Plan for Greater Adelaide is a State Government document. State Government is consulting on a discussion paper for the Greater Adelaide Regional Plan which can be commented on through a public submission. 	This statement outlines dissatisfaction with the materials provided by Council as part of the CLMP consultation. It does not propose any specific amendments to the draft CLMP. Council has undertaken the appropriate consultation on the draft CLMP and has since made a number of refinements after undertaking consultation. As an additional step Council invited respondents to make deputations to the City Planning, Development and Business Affairs Committee of Council. No
CONTENT Council's administration has relied on a flawed judgement about the interpretation of key legislation (the Local Government)	The Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005 requires a review of the CLMP at least once in every five years. The current review is overdue.	This statement outlines dissatisfaction with the reduced content in the draft CLMP when compared to the existing CLMP. It does not propose any specific amendment.

Act 1999) as a basis for this revision and drew on statutory principles of the Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005 to rationalise the change.

- The proposed CLMP has been stripped of most previous content, which has been critical to land-use determinations since 2013.
- The proposed draft will effectively trash the clarity and efficacy of an existing statutory policy document (the 2013 CLMP) arising from the Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005, used to guide future management direction of park lands zone matters.

The Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005 requires a person or body responsible for the care, control or management of any part of the Adelaide Park Lands to have regard to, and seek to apply, the principles set out in the Act.

Duplication (and potential inconsistency) between the CLMP and other official documents of Council is reduced by providing links within the CLMP to those documents.

Much of the information that has been removed was either historical information which is not required by the *Local Government Act 1999* or was more relevant to the future planning of the Park Lands through the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy.

Development is assessed under the State Government's state-wide Planning and Design Code (not the CLMP).

Refinements to the draft CLMP are proposed in response to the consultation and comments seeking to ensure effectiveness and clarity of policy in the CLMP.

Further supplementary information has been provided with the aim of strengthening the objectives and policy positions for the Adelaide Park Lands.

Yes, refer to specific policy refinements.

BACKGROUND DISCUSSION

3. Environmental/political context as at July 2023

The following explanation ought to have been provided during the July 2023 public consultation phase. It was not. This

Noted - The *Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005* requires a review of the CLMP at least once

This is a statement outlining dissatisfaction with Council's consultation process and procedures.

represents a fundamental failure of due process in local government public consultation procedure.

The 30 Year Plan for Greater Adelaide

This CLMP draft emerges at a critical time, and the timing of its release illustrates the funk in which city council administration finds itself. It is self-induced. The state government's 30 Year Plan for Greater Adelaide is overdue for comprehensive updating. This plan traditionally includes visions and aspirations for park lands management directions over the next decade. Its contents will influence the evolution of government policy and evolution of statutory park lands documentation under the Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005. These comprise the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy (APLMS) and the Community Land Management Plan (CLMP), via the interacting Local Government Act 1999.

Under the 2005 legislation, a CLMP for the park lands must be 'consistent' with the APLMS. The draft currently subject to public consultation in some sections is not. Thus, until the city council properly revises and consults on a new version of the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy 2015–2025, it is obviously too early to amend the CLMP. The real impetus to radically amend the CLMP is a local government panic to satisfy fresh state government development project demands, especially in some parks.

in every five years. The current review is overdue.

The 30 Year Plan for Greater Adelaide is a State Government document. State Government is consulting on a discussion paper for the Greater Adelaide Regional Plan which can be commented on through a public submission.

The Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy 2015-2025 (endorsed in 2018) is currently undergoing its comprehensive review.

Under the *Local Government Act 1999*, a management plan should (as far as practicable) be consistent with other relevant official plans and policies about conservation, development and use of the land. In the event of an inconsistency an official plan or policy under another Act prevails to the extent of the inconsistency.

The proposed 2023 CLMP approach reduces the timeframe and resources required to deliver the comprehensive review programme by 2028. Changes to individual parks can be consulted on if required.

It also states there are inconsistencies between the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy and the draft CLMP.

Further supplementary information has been provided with the aim of strengthening the objectives and policy positions for the Adelaide Park Lands.

The Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy is currently being reviewed in accordance with the *Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005* – the review will include updates that provide for alignment of the two documents.

Park 2 is one. That the amendment to the CLMP is occurring ahead of revisions to the 30 Year Plan is a sign of this current level of panic. Curiously, there is no mention in the YourSay consultation background information of the looming demands involved in updating the 30 Year Plan, which future content will dictate the primary 'visionary' action-plan directions likely to appear in a future version of the Strategy, and the future management directions and contemplations of a revised CLMP. To introduce additional irony, preliminary discussions about the contents of the park lands aspects in the proposed new 30 Year Plan at Capital City Committee level remain secret under the City of Adelaide Act 1998, and any resolutions and documentation arising from those discussions and shared with the city council must also remain secret under that legislation. The public's right to know is, under that Act, nil and without provision for appeal. It is in this almost farcical context that the city council is urgently driving public consultation on this deeply flawed draft CLMP.		
4. The purpose of a CLMP		
The July 2023 consultation package fails to adequately explain to respondents the purpose of a CLMP. This is a significant	Noted - The introductory text for the consultation stated: 'This document [CLMP] identifies how this land will be used and managed.'	The statement is in regards to the consultation package not the draft CLMP.

failure of due process in local government public consultation procedure.	The consultation package included Frequently Asked Questions, which stated: 'Community Land Management Plans (CLMPs) show the way in which the land is to be used, considering a diverse range of recreation, conservation and other issues like sports grounds and areas of cultural significance.' The purpose of a CLMP is set out on page 1 of the document under the title 'What is a Community Land Management Plan' which states: 'A Community Land Management Plan sets out Council's approach to using and managing community land on behalf of	The consultation package included a number of supporting documents with the intent of explaining the purpose of a CLMP. No
A CLMP for the Adelaide park lands is intended under the Local Government Act 1999 to be a definitive legal guideline setting out the future management directions of much of the public land under the city council's custodianship and tenure, land in the Adelaide Park Lands Plan, the park lands as we know it today.2 It is not an 'action plan'. That role falls to the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy.	the community.' Noted - The content of the CLMP is legislatively prescribed. Councils are required to manage community land in accord with the relevant CLMP and otherwise risk legal exposure. Strategic and project planning for the Park Lands is through the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy.	This is a statement identifying the difference between the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy and the CLMP. This is a statement which Administration support.
The council's first CLMPs for the park lands began to emerge in 2005, as a result of a city council determination in 2003 to abide by amendments to the LG Act 1999. A December 2003 council brochure introducing the public to the idea of CLMPs for the park lands noted the intent behind them, including "enhancement and preservation of cultural,	Noted - The draft CLMP contains content prescribed by the <i>Local Government Act</i> 1999. Since the introduction of a legal requirement to prepare a CLMP, additional changes have occurred including:	This statement outlines the history of the CLMPs and the importance of CLMPs providing any 'future contemplations' for the Adelaide Park Lands. In accordance with section 196(3)(c) and (196(3)(e)(ii) of the <i>Local Government Act</i> 1999, Council must state 'proposals for the

recreational and environmental values" and "forward planning for capital works and maintenance."3 A CLMP should set out, in fine detail, the future management directions envisaged for each precinct, park or site of the Adelaide Park Lands zone under management by the City of Adelaide. (This proposed 2023 draft identifies annexures for 40 sites, and figures for 42.)

Importantly, it also must reflect any future 'contemplations' for those sites (especially in development terms) such as capital works and maintenance, and existing and future likely leases, potentially allowing for new building, infrastructure and ancillary facilities development, or existing facilities redevelopment. This 'contemplation' function is a critical CLMP aspect influencing future management determinations over the life of the CLMP, as a guide to park lands zone administrators. Unfortunately, this function is commonly the least-understood feature of the use of CLMPs for the park lands. Few local government elected members understand it, and even fewer members of the public do.

- Introduction of the Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005 which requires preparation of the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy (strategic focus and including future use of the Park Lands).
- Requirement for 10-year asset management plans to be prepared by Council (arising from Local Government (Financial Management and Rating) Amendment Act 2005)
- Supreme Court ruling on content and interpretation of CLMPs (which supports strict adherence to the alignment with prescribed content).

It is assumed that the reference to 'contemplations' refers to section 196(3)(c) and (196(3)(e)(ii) of the *Local Government Act 1999* which states that Council must state 'proposals for the management of the land' and provide specific information on the council's policies for the granting of leases or licences over any part of the Park Lands.

The draft CLMP does this by outlining the intent of a new State Government infrastructure/developments and granting of a lease/license.

The CLMP is also to be consistent with the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy which is currently being updated and provides relevant links to Council's Asset Management Plans.

management of the land' and provide specific information on the council's policies for the granting of leases or licences over any part of the park lands.

The draft CLMP does this by outlining the intent of new State Government infrastructure/developments and granting of a lease/license.

The Community Land Register identifies leases and licenses.

A further complexity is the notion relating to an absence of 'contemplation', because that is just as valid. No contemplation means that there is no basis to consider, in management direction terms, new ad hoc development or lease concepts. In the past, the city council has occasionally unwisely pursued revisions of CLMPs during the plans' five-year shelf life to get around this challenge, and to introduce new 'contemplations' that did not exist at the time. They were commonly contemplations for ill-advised built forms or lease proposals not conducive to protecting the park lands landscapes. Some of them would have led to access restrictions and long-term alienation of the public from the park lands. (An example in 2017 was a concept for a helicopter landing site in Helen Mavo Park. west of Montefiore Road (Park 27).) It failed, for the obvious reason that a CLMP's 'lack of contemplation' meant that there was no policy support for the proposal, or for a lease to enable it. This highlights a key role played by CLMPs under the legislation.

Noted - The draft CLMP aims to provide policy clarity about envisaged uses and management of the land. This is done in the context of the content prescribed by the *Local Government Act 1999*.

A CLMP is required to provide information on Council's policies for the granting of leases or licences over any part of the Park Lands.

It is assumed that the reference to 'contemplations' refers to section 196(3)(c) and (196(3)(e)(ii) of the *Local Government Act 1999* which states that council must state 'proposals for the management of the land' and provide specific information on the council's policies for the granting of leases or licences over any part of the park lands.

The draft CLMP outlines the intent of a new State Government infrastructure / developments and granting of a lease / license.

This statement outlines the history of the CLMPs and the importance of CLMPs providing any 'future contemplations' for the Adelaide Park Lands.

In accordance with section 196(3)(c) and (196(3)(e)(ii) of the *Local Government Act* 1999 council must state 'proposals for the management of the land' and provide specific information on the council's policies for the granting of leases or licences over any part of the Park Lands.

The draft CLMP outlines the intent of a new State Government infrastructure / developments and granting of a lease / license.

No

The 2017 episode is once again repeating in July 2023, given that the principal impetus behind the urgency to consult on and endorse the April 2023 draft CLMP is to ensure that a major development proposal for Park 2 (aquatic centre) is quickly progressed, as well as a proposal for a lease, in line with a very tight state government construction schedule.

Noted - The Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005 requires a review of the CLMP at least once in every five years. The current review is overdue.

The draft CLMP outlines the intent of a new State Government Infrastructure / developments and granting of a lease / license.

This is a statement that outlines dissatisfaction with Councils timing of the draft CLMP, as it relates to the State Government's Park 2 proposal being included in the CLMP.

In accordance with section 196(3)(c) and (196(3)(e)(ii) of the *Local Government Act* 1999, Council must state 'proposals for the management of the land' and provide specific

	Section 196 (1)(c) states that a Council must prepare and adopt a management plan for its community land if - the land has been, or is to be, specifically modified or adapted for the benefit or enjoyment of the community. In order to reflect the approved major development in the Park Lands, Council must update its management plans.	information on the council's policies for the granting of leases or licences over any part of the park lands. The draft CLMP outlines the intent of a new State Government infrastructure / developments and granting of a lease / license.
CLMP matters are further discussed below, because in the proposed July 2023 draft at least one new and very broad 'contemplation' has been created and embedded across the draft, which would deliver significant future negative consequences (see heading 13 below: 'New, embedded 'contemplation' statement'.)	Noted - The draft CLMP aims to provide policy clarity about envisaged uses and management of the land. This is done in the context of the content prescribed by the Local Government Act 1999.	This is a statement outlining dissatisfaction with the inclusions of new 'contemplations' in the draft CLMP that allow for: "Providing public facilities, furniture and amenities ancillary to support the use of the Adelaide Park Lands". The 'Purpose for which the land is held' has clarified through a higher order purpose of the land. The provision of facilities, furniture and amenities to support the use of the Adelaide Park Lands is deemed to be appropriate to as it relates to the management of existing facilities, furniture and amenities and allows for future improvements to facilities, furniture and amenities. No
Apart from 'contemplations', a competently crafted CLMP must acknowledge and explore the 'state of play' across the counciltenured sites in the Adelaide Park Lands Plan; for example, each park's (or	Local Government Act 1999 does not require CLMP's to contain 'cultural history, heritage matters and issues, landscape zones and landscape typologies'	This is request for greater level of content for each Park – eg. History and landscape typologies.

unnumbered site's) cultural history, heritage matters and issues, landscape zones and landscape typologies, among many other matters. A reference to previous CLMP versions (for example, the current chapters in the existing 2013 version) will illustrate that this detail must be accessible in a CLMP, and at all times avoiding any ambiguity, because future arising land-use proposals will depend on the accuracy and precision of the information record.

The current proposed CLMP comprehensively fails this test. The matter of ambiguity is further addressed below.

Pervious iterations of the Adelaide Park Lands CLMP's contained information that was beyond legislative requirements and more relevant to the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy.

Duplication (and potential inconsistency) between the CLMP and other official documents of Council is reduced by providing links within the CLMP to those documents.

Refinements to the draft CLMP are proposed in response to the consultation and comments seeking to ensure effectiveness and clarity of policy in the CLMP.

The *Local Government Act 1999* does not require the CLMP to contain this information.

This information is provided in other Council documents that the CLMP links with, such as the National Heritage Management Plan and the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy update.

Yes, refer to specific policy refinements.

5. Interpreting the legislation – Council has relied on a flawed judgement driving the interpretation of key legislation as a basis for this revision

Unfortunately, the section 196 provisions allowing for evolution of a CLMP under the *Local Government Act 1999* (sub sections 1a to 3e) are rather general, and leave room for broad administrative interpretation when a CLMP revision is being contemplated. This is illustrated in the draft version currently being publicly consulted. The draft highlights the outcome of a fresh and substantial council reinterpretation of some of those LG Act 1999 provisions. This interpretation has delivered a flawed draft CLMP version.

The council has, for the first time, determined to use the statutory principles of the *Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005* as a basis to rationalise a wholesale amendment of the existing 2013

Noted - A CLMP must state the purpose for which the land is held by Council.

The Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005 requires a person or body responsible for the care, control or management of any part of the Adelaide Park Lands to have regard to, and seek to apply, the principles set out in the Act.

The draft CLMP references the statutory principles of the *Adelaide Park Lands Act* 2005, in stating the overall purpose for which the Adelaide Park Lands (as a system) are held.

This is a statement regarding dissatisfaction with the provisions in section 196 of the *Local Government Act 1999* and how Council has interpreted this information.

Supplementary information has been provided to Section 5 to clarify a number of matters.

The alignment of the purpose in which the Adelaide Park Lands are held with the statutory principles in the *Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005* is seen to be appropriate.

CLMP version under section 196 of the *Local Government Act* 1999.4 It has used these principles, which arise from the *Adelaide Park Lands Act* 2005. Flaws arising from this approach include:

- i. This is a new initiative legitimising a deliberate pursuit of greater apparent brevity but also leading to significant policy ambiguity, the evidence for which is substantial when one examines the proposed draft CLMP. By "deriving [the overall purpose] from the statutory principles" of the Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005 (Part 5) the council's administration has made an error of judgement. (Moreover, the city council has not flagged to YourSay respondents of how radically different is this approach in the history of the evolution of park lands CLMP versions since 2005. This is a procedural failure.) Amateur readers may falsely assume that this interpretation is a continuation of existing council policy, but it is not.
- ii. The Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005 statutory principles serve as broad-brush and at times ambiguous 'motherhood' statements as the philosophical adjunct for the reading of the 'action-plan' Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy. Some principles are more ambiguous than others. Most critically, they were never proposed to be the legal and philosophical foundation influencing

- To provide benefit to the people of South Australia by being publicly accessible green open space
- To support a diverse range of environmental, natural heritage, cultural and social values and activities
- To support outdoor and community based recreational activities and events
- To provide a defining feature to the City of Adelaide
- To contribute to the economic and social wellbeing of the city.

In addition to the overall purpose for which the Adelaide Park Lands are held, each park may have a more specific 'purpose' for which it is held, outlined in the specific park management section (Section 11) of the CLMP.

For example, in addition to the overall purpose for which the Adelaide Park Lands are held, Golden Wattle Park / Mirnu Wirra (Park 21W) is for:

- Providing for informal recreation, in the form of the Lundie Gardens and a playspace
- Providing sporting fields
- Protecting the Key Biodiversity Area in this park
- Providing public facilities, furniture and amenities ancillary to support the use of the Adelaide Park Lands.
- Providing a section of the Park Lands Trail.

- the management directions of a future CLMP under the Local Government Act 1999 legislation, brought into operation six years before the APL Act 2005. The principles were never inserted into the APL Act 2005 to guide and define the express purpose of a CLMP as prescribed under the older LG Act 1999. There are serious risks inherent in this simplistic approach, but the city council's administration appears to be indifferent to them. An example follows, especially with respect to one particular principle.
- iii. Statutory principle (d) states that "the Adelaide park lands provide a defining feature of the City of Adelaide and contribute to the economic and social well-being of the city ..." The fact that this occurs does not mean, and never did mean, that a key purpose of the park lands under a CLMP management direction is to "contribute to the economic and social well-being of the city".5 No legislation requires this view – an economic imperative. The council's 'deriving exercise' takes a general and ambiguous principle (the product of the APL Act 2005) and turns it into an outcomes-focused CLMP requirement (under the LG Act 1999), prescribing a specific economic 'purpose for which the [park lands] land is held'. While Adelaide park lands activity might at times socially

"contribute" in various ways through events and recreational activities, those aspects do not turn the "contribution" into an economic requirement, a defined purpose. Former CLMPs for the park lands never prosecuted this view and most certainly did not capitalise on the principles from the APL Act 2005 to derive the purpose for which the land is held. It should not be prosecuted now. In summary, council's fresh interpretation of section 196 the LG Act 1999 is misguided. So is the arising July 2023 CLMP draft.

6. Proposed new version is riddled with ambiguity

This draft CLMP is riddled with ambiguity, and largely stripped of the previous CLMP's critical detail. It is also comprehensively lacking in vital former links references as well as explanatory material regarding associated management documentation (park lands custodian city council, and state departments).

Despite its apparent brevity, it is not userfriendly, and in its stripped-back form will significantly mislead readers as to the authentic purpose of a CLMP.

Reference to it in this form would have high potential to deliver consequences contrary to the previous long-standing monitoring and management of the open-spaces and the Duplication (and potential inconsistency) between the CLMP and other official documents of Council is reduced by providing links within the CLMP to those documents.

Refinements to the draft CLMP are proposed in response to the consultation and comments seeking to ensure effectiveness and clarity of policy in the CLMP.

Pervious iterations of the Adelaide Park Lands CLMP's contained information that was beyond legislative requirements and more relevant to the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy. This is a statement suggesting that there is increased ambiguity in the draft CLMP. It does not request a specific amendment.

Supplementary information has been provided with an aim to provide greater detail however, much of the information that was provided in previous iterations of the CLMP's are not required by the *Local Government Act 1999* and are provided in other Council documents and policies.

Yes, refer to specific policy refinements.

cultural history and landscape integrity of the Adelaide park lands over the coming years. This has been a fundamental management direction focus implied in each of the previous two CLMP versions. Remember – it is not a development plan. But respondents familiar with such plans (or the relatively new Planning and Design Code) could be forgiven for assuming that this draft CLMP is morphing into a companion document to the Code

7. The extent of the loss of former detail

The consultation approach fails to compare and contrast this new draft with the previous 2013 CLMP chapters. This represents a major failure of due process in transparency terms. The absence of this information obscures the extent of change proposed. Respondents are unable to comprehend this extent. They are literally 'flying blind' as they attempt to form an opinion about the contents of the new draft. A comparison between the (existing) 2013 CLMP chapters and this proposed July 2023 draft illustrates the extent of proposed change. Administrators have deleted critical 'Directions' content, including:

- Desired Future Character statements for each park or site.
- 'Challenges and Opportunities' statements.

Noted - The draft CLMP contains content prescribed by the *Local Government Act* 1999.

Since the introduction of a legal requirement to prepare a CLMP, additional changes have occurred including:

- Introduction of the Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005 which requires preparation of the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy (strategic focus and including future use of the Park Lands).
- Requirement for 10-year asset management plans to be prepared by Council (arising from Local Government (Financial Management and Rating) Amendment Act 2005)
- Supreme Court ruling on content and interpretation of CLMPs (which supports strict adherence to the alignment with prescribed content).

This statement outlines dissatisfaction with the consultation approach in comparison to previous approaches to CLMP consultation. It also outlines specific content areas that have been removed from previous CLMPs.

Supplementary information has been added to the 'Park Context' section in each Annexure based on content in the current CLMPs.

• The former detailed 'Management Directions' for each park or site.

This severely weakens the integrity of what an authentic CLMP ought to present and compromises its capacity to advise readers of detail not provided in any other park lands statutory policy instrument. This especially applies in relation to those accessing the draft CLMP to explore future park or site land-use 'contemplations', including lease proposals. This is one of the fundamental purposes of a CLMP.

The CLMP is to be consistent with the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy and provides relevant links to Council's Asset Management Plans.

Supplementary information has been added to the 'Park Context' section in each Annexure based on content in the current CLMPs.

The deletion of formerly critical CLMP material has been comprehensive. For example, there is:

- No stated 'vision' for each park or park lands site.
- No analysis of each park lands' park or site landscape zones.
- No detailed overview of each site (no background cultural history, minimal analysis of existing users, and no reference to leases or sub-leases).6
- · No General Features of Significance.
- No Features of Kaurna Significance.
- No Features of European significance.
- No reference to National Heritage values of the place.
- No express details or links as to what land uses may be contemplated under

Strategic and project planning for the Park Lands is through the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy.

The draft CLMP contains content prescribed by the *Local Government Act* 1999.

The draft CLMP provides park specific context and static maps with key features for each Park.

Administration will explore the ability to convert static maps to the online mapping tool (Community Land Register).

The draft CLMP includes sections on

- Kaurna and Cultural heritage significance including a link to the Councilcommissioned Cultural Assessment.
- City of Adelaide Strategies, Policies and Plans which including links to all documents.

This statement outlines dissatisfaction with specific content that has been removed from the existing CLMPs.

Supplementary information has been added to the 'Park Context' section in each Annexure based on content in the current CLMPs.

Park Lands Wide statements about the National Heritage Values have been added.

Much of the detail requested falls outside the requirements of a CLMP. This information has been removed as it is contained in other Council documents or will be included in the updated Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy.

Yes, online maps pending technical review

any existing or proposed Master Plans – or not.

- · No guide for investment priorities.
- No explicit reference to existing buildings at the park lands park or site.
- No reference to Community Values, Methodology and Summary, or Cultural Landscape features (with exception to Parks 7 and 8), or Vegetation elements, or Spatial Arrangements, or Historical Views and Aesthetic Qualities.

National Heritage.

To assist with navigation of the Cultural Landscape Assessment, the online document will be bookmarked and links included in Park specific information.

8. Other critical material deleted

Compared to the previous (2013) CLMP chapters, there are no explicit references to critical matters such as park lands component types; overall patterns of landscape; spatial organisation; land uses, response to natural features, circulation networks, boundary demarcations, vegetation, structures, small-scale elements, and historical views and aesthetic qualities. With two exceptions in this current consultation package (Parks 7 and 8) there is now no link reference to previous, council-commissioned Cultural Landscape and Assessment scholarship. This is a significant deficit.

The Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy 2015-2025 contains an assessment of landscape types.

The draft CLMP provides park specific context and static maps with key features for each Park. Administration will explore the ability to convert static maps to the online mapping tool (Community Land Register).

The draft CLMP includes sections on

- Kaurna and Cultural heritage significance including a link to the Council-commissioned Cultural Assessment.
- City of Adelaide Strategies, Policies and Plans which including links to all documents.

This statement outlines dissatisfaction with specific content areas that have been removed from previous CLMPs. Specific reference is made to the Adelaide and Park Lands & Squares Cultural Landscape and Assessment Study 2006. This document continues to be a link in the CLMP.

Much of the detail requested falls outside the requirements of a CLMP. This information has been removed as it is contained in other Council documents or will be included in the updated Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy.

9. Key links jettisoned, explanatory material lacking

When the council created its 2013 CLMP. multiple links were included in an appendix reference attached to each park or site page. However, in the proposed new 2023 draft, this reference has now been jettisoned. No information-rich appendix pages appear at any of the proposed 40 park or site 'annexures'. Links have also been reduced to a bare minimum. A critical link that ought to appear for each park or site includes reference to the 2007 council-commissioned documentation by Dr David Jones, (through) Adelaide Research and Innovation Ltd. University of Adelaide, The Adelaide and Park Lands & Squares Cultural Landscape and Assessment Study 2006, published in October 2007. This was commissioned to inform the first versions of the CLMP. to 2009, and continued through a link to inform the 2013 version. The material richly informed that first version, across all parks. In 2013, in an updated CLMP, reference was more restricted, but it was still there. In this 2023 proposed version, reference has been almost totally deleted. This is a retrograde step. So-called 'simplification of documentation' has a high cost - loss of critical cultural landscape reference.

Moreover, with respect to Dr Jones' scholarship, a simple link is in practice not sufficient. In an authentic CLMP (as evidenced with the original version and the updated version in 2013), each link ought to be highly specific, given that the Jones

The draft CLMP contains a link to the study referenced: The Adelaide and Park Lands & Squares Cultural Landscape and Assessment Study 2006.

Individual links to the study can be included in the Park specific sections of the draft CLMP.

To assist with navigation of the Cultural Landscape Assessment, the online document will be bookmarked and links included in Park specific information.

This is a statement outlining that there has been a reduction in content regarding the Cultural Landscape Assessment. Specific reference is made to the Adelaide and Park Lands & Squares Cultural Landscape and Assessment Study 2006. This document continues to be a link in the CLMP.

Online maps are pending technical review to bookmark the Cultural Landscape Assessment for each Park on the Council's online Adelaide Park Lands Community Land Register.

Yes

reference comprises six lengthy volumes. The link must first be prefaced with explanation, and the link itself ought to lead directly to the related material – for every park or site. None of this is evident in the proposed July 2023 draft.		
10. Negative consequences inevitable		
In pursuing an apparent need for brevity ('simplification'), the council author(s) ensure that this CLMP draft delivers a statutory document stripped of critical detail, wallowing in ambiguity, and ripe for very wide interpretation, especially by planners.	Refinements to the draft CLMP are proposed in response to the consultation and comments seeking to ensure effectiveness and clarity of policy in the CLMP. Duplication (and potential inconsistency) between the CLMP and other official documents of Council is reduced by providing links within the CLMP to those documents. Supplementary information has been provided to the draft CLMP in response to the consultation and comments seeking to ensure effectiveness and clarity of policy in the CLMP. Pervious iterations of the Adelaide Park Lands CLMP's contained information that was more relevant to the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy.	This statement outlines dissatisfaction with the removal of content from pervious CLMPs. It does not request specific amendments. Supplementary information has been provided to the Park Content. Much of the information that was provided in previous iterations of the CLMP's is not required by the Local Government Act 1999 and is provided in other Council documents and policies. Yes, refer to specific policy refinements.
11. Draft would lead to planning-related assessment traps		
Stripping the new draft of former detail will only serve to encourage commercial and state-based actors and agencies seeking to exploit the park lands, especially to pursue	Noted - A CLMP is required to provide information on Council's policies for the granting of leases or licences over any part	This statement outlines dissatisfaction with the removal of content from previous CLMPs. It does not request specific amendments.

opportunity for construction of future built form, including permanent built form, as well as associated new leases, which in some cases would have the potential to alienate South Australians from access to the green, open spaces of their park lands.

of the Park Lands; and on any arrangements or restrictions to public use.

The Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005 and the National Heritage Listing are the mechanisms that provide for the protection of the Adelaide Park Lands.

A CLMP sets out Council's approach to using and managing community land on behalf of the community.

Development is assessed under the State Government's state-wide Planning and Design Code (not the CLMP). The intent and role of the CLMP is to outline the management of the Adelaide Park Lands as community land.

No

12. The Planning and Design Code

Lack of reference in the draft CLMP to the Planning and Design Code (and therefore lack of reference to its Principles of Development Control and Deemed to Satisfy (character) relating to park lands zone land) is a significant omission. Major amendments to that code in 2021 now mean that there ought to be explicit CLMP references to various sites. They include Frome Park landuse (new school expansion and land tenure transfer by the city council, proposed in July 2022 and endorsed in October 2022, but still evidently not completed as indicated in the April 2023 CLMP draft), as well as to Park 20 where a 2018 land-use proposal by Pulteney Grammar put to council in that year remains unresolved. Of greatest contemporary concern is lack of Planning and Design Code amendment reference to Park 2, and the

Section 7 of the draft CLMP references relevant legislation including the Planning, Development and Infrastructure Act 2016, and Planning and Design Code.

Code Amendments that have resulted in significant changes to Park Land areas such as Frome Park and Park 2 are reflected in the Park specific sections of the draft CLMP.

This statement requests further information in the draft CLMP about the Planning and Design Code.

Section 7 of the draft CLMP references relevant legislation including the *Planning, Development and Infrastructure Act 2016,* and Planning and Design Code.

The draft CLMP outlines how community land will be managed in the Adelaide Park Lands.

sub-zone provisions now applying to that site (the Aquatic Centre and car park).

The purpose behind this recommendation is to stress that the draft CLMP is not the Code, and the Code is not the CLMP. In other words, the distinction between the two ought to be clearly explained in the CLMP. Future interpreters of the next CLMP version may not otherwise comprehend this.

13. New, embedded 'contemplation' statement ('ancillary' and 'facilities')

An ambiguous new statement has been introduced to this draft CLMP, and it repeats across the document, under each of the 40 references to parks or sites. It reads: "Providing facilities, furniture and amenities ancillary to park uses". This did not appear in previous CLMPs as an embedded, universal 'contemplation' and it should not appear in this CLMP. Alarmingly, this sentence is stated in the draft as the "Purpose for which the land is held". Then, in repeated park or site references, the 'Management Proposals' are stated to "support proposals that are consistent for which the land is held". This delivers a circular proposition – that the Adelaide park lands are in future to be a site focused on the provision of "facilities. furniture and amenities" because that is (apparently) the purpose of the land. This is not only wrong, but highly misleading because it implies that the CLMP is some sort of development plan (or in the current language, a version of a planning code). This

Noted - Council provides public facilities, furniture and amenities in the Parks Lands. The intent of the draft CLMP is to note that these facilities, furniture and amenities are secondary and support the use of the Adelaide to Park Lands.

A CLMP sets out Council's approach to using and managing community land on behalf of the community.

Development is assessed under the State Government's state-wide Planning and Design Code (not the CLMP). This statement outlines dissatisfaction with the introduction of statements such as a number of Park Lands wide statements including "facilities, furniture and amenities" being referenced as 'purpose for which the Park Lands are held'.

The 'Purpose for which the land is held' has clarified through a higher order purpose of the land. The provision of facilities, furniture and amenities to support the use of the Adelaide Park Lands is deemed to be appropriate to as it relates to the management of existing facilities, furniture and amenities and allows for future improvements to facilities, furniture and amenities.

'contemplation' focus falls on what is defined as 'development' under the 2016 state planning legislation.7 However, it is alien to the future management direction for the Adelaide park lands. It should not be focused on what is essentially a Planning and Design Code function. Instead, the focus should be on presenting a park lands management-direction statutory guideline, mapping (among other things) leases across the park lands, not simply a guideline enabling allowances for "facilities, furniture and amenities ancillary to park uses". But the draft at July 2023 claims that this is the "purpose for which the land is held".

If this statement is retained for each of the 40 parks or sites, the planning-related consequences could be profound, because it would be seen as the CLMP's principal 'contemplation' and, as such, would be widely exploited in subsequent planning assessment contexts. This is because of the explicit wording. Two arising planning-related consequences of the wording are important to comprehend.

a) The word 'ancillary' is a widely exploited legal planning term, and since 2005 has led to park lands determinations approving permanent park lands infrastructure developments. (The state's 2018 construction of the Adelaide Oval Hotel capitalised on this term at approval stage, as did the city council's 2020 Oval No 2 car park monetisation allowances

for the exclusive benefit of the Adelaide Oval Stadium Management Authority otherwise known as Adelaide Oval SMA Ltd.) On the basis of the past 15 years' planning-related history of the park lands, it is clear that 'ancillary' allowances are commonly alien to a broad philosophical commitment to protection of the landscape character and the green open spaces of the land included the Adelaide Park Lands Plan. A CLMP's purpose is not to present a legal 'trigger' mechanism simply by implying that land within the Adelaide Park Lands Plan has as its principal purpose of "Providing facilities, furniture and amenities ancillary to park uses". But that is what is proposed in this draft of the CLMP for every park or other site as their "purpose".

b) The term 'facilities' in planning terms embraces park lands development concepts that in the recent past have manifested as large-scale built form at park lands sites. They include a nowcompleted \$535m redevelopment of the Adelaide Oval: a \$40m Torrens Lake footbridge; a \$2.4b Royal Adelaide Hospital, a \$42m hotel attached to the eastern wall of the oval, and a 2019 \$100m Adelaide Botanic High School (which now includes a very recently approved (January 2022) \$98m extension tower to the school to intrude on park land never previously contemplated for construction of multistorey built form). The concept of "facilities and amenities ancillary to park users" is sufficient planner-speak for a very wide range of developments legitimised under the March 2021 Planning and Design Code (as amended again in late 2021 to deliver rezoning of some sites in the park lands zone, and likely to be amended in future years, almost certainly for the same purpose).

14. "Overarching objectives, targets and measures for the Adelaide park lands" - Eight additional reasons why this draft is flawed

1) The author(s) of the new draft have created 11 new "overarching objectives, targets and measures for the Adelaide park lands" (Section 10, Table 1, commencing on page 13 of the draft). They cover everything from events, to biodiversity, to heritage landscapes (among other things). These are all based on the content of various council guidelines and plans, including the Adelaide Event Guidelines, a Biodiversity Management Plan, a Cultural Landscape Assessment, and various council Asset Management Plans (among other documents). In the proposed draft, this approach turns the council's previous CLMP management procedure on its head. Over the past 15 years, the content of the CLMP for the park lands drove the creation and evolution of council guidelines and plans. But now the council seeks to reverse this, such that council guidelines and plans are to drive the contents of the CLMP. If this bizarre

Noted - Under the *Local Government Act* 1999, a management plan should (as far as practicable) be consistent with other relevant official plans and policies about conservation, development and use of the land. In the event of an inconsistency an official plan or policy under another Act prevails to the extent of the inconsistency.

Duplication (and potential inconsistency) between the CLMP and other official documents of Council is reduced by providing links within the CLMP to those documents.

This comment outlined concerns with the approach to the introduction of overarching Objectives, Targets and Measures.

Supplementary detail has been included within the overarching Objectives, Targets and Measures for the Adelaide Park Lands.

Park Lands-Wide Objectives, Targets and Measures recognise that the Adelaide Park Lands is held as a system and network of interconnected Parks.

approach is taken seriously, logic tells us that when council amends any of the policy documentation, the CLMP objectives, targets and measures will also have to be amended. And if so, sections of any existing version of the CLMP will be made redundant and need to be revised and replaced, through the statutory public consultation process. However, and alternatively, the proper approach, as it was in the past, is that the CLMP rigour defines the need for documentation, as well as the content of that documentation. The guidelines and plans documentation noted above have no statutory status. But the CLMP does.		
2) Of the 11 "objectives and targets and measures" proposed in Table 1 in the CLMP draft (page 13), some are very poorly thought through, with at times unclear 'Target' details, and illogical relationships reflected in the related 'Measures'. For example, in relation to "National Heritage Listing" the "Objective" is to "preserve the National Heritage Listing". This implies that the future of the commonwealth legislation (2008) is under some doubt, which is simply false. The "Target" is stated as creation of a "National Heritage Management Plan" whose purpose is not explained. The "Measure" is the same as the "Target". Similar muddy thinking appears in relation to a number of other objectives, targets and measures.	Noted - The draft CLMP includes multiple references to the National Heritage Values of the Park Lands. A National Heritage Place should have a Management Plan in place under the Commonwealth legislation to improve its preservation. The Management Plan then has a statutory timeframe of five years. The Objective, Target and Measure in the draft CLMP states: Objective: To preserve the National Heritage Listing Target: A National Heritage Management Plan for the City and Adelaide Park Lands is developed by December 2023 Measure: A National Heritage Management Plan for the city and Adelaide Park Lands is	This comment outlined concerns with the approach to the introduction of overarching Objectives, Targets and Measures. In response, supplementary detail has been included to the Objectives, Targets and Measures for the Adelaide Park Lands. No

	in place by December 2023 and reviewed every five years thereafter.	
measures" are particularly offensive, ill-fitting for a CLMP, and wide open to commercial and state planner and executive government exploitation. (1) "Commercial activities" ('Objective 03') and (2) "Public facilities, furniture and amenities" ('Objective O11'). With regard to (1), at no time in the past has a CLMP document ever contemplated (as found in Section 10) "supporting commercial activities" or citing targets that encourage "a range of commercial offerings" or allowed for no auditing procedure for up to five years. A CLMP is not a development plan. With regard to (2), at no time has the "purpose" of a park lands site been defined by a certain statement in the proposed draft, which litters the whole document, under the 40 park or site references. That statement says: " providing facilities, furniture and amenities ancillary to park users". This has never been a fundamental "purpose" for which the Adelaide park lands is held. But in this draft CLMP council's administration now misguidedly deem it to be the fundamental purpose for which the land is held. Moreover, in future planning and development terms, the wording's ambiguity will present an alarming opportunity for planners and assessment panels to interpret it, in conjunction with the Planning and Design Code, as they see fit.	Noted - Council provides public facilities, furniture and amenities in the Parks Lands. The intent of the draft CLMP is to note that these facilities, furniture and amenities are secondary to and support the use of the Adelaide Lands. Use of community land for business purposes is subject to provisions of the Local Government Act 1999. The council cannot approve the use of community land for a business purpose contrary to the provisions of a management plan. City of Adelaide enables a range of business purposes on the Park Lands and is therefore required to reflect this in its CLMP. Development is assessed under the State Government's state-wide Planning and Design Code (not the CLMP).	This comment outlined concerns with the approach to the introduction of overarching Objectives, Targets and Measures. The Adelaide Park Lands currently hosts events, cafes, restaurants and small scale kiosks which provide public benefit. Supplementary detail included within Section 10: Overarching Objectives, Targets And Measures For The Adelaide Park Lands to reflect only small scale business uses (community use) of Park Lands are anticipated. Supplementary detail about the value of the Adelaide Park Lands has been added to the Introduction to the CLMP. No

4) In the draft CLMP, the proposed Category "Public facilities, furniture and amenities" features a list of development concepts (as defined under planning law), but makes clear that it is "not limited to" those (which include fencing and car parking). In fact, there appears to be no limit. This is as far as the wording rigour appearing in the Section 10 table goes and, as such, would strongly encourage proponents seeking development approval for a range of park lands projects defined as 'development' under the Planning, Infrastructure and Development Act 2016. Such encouragement should be studiously avoided in a CLMP. Remember - it is not a development plan. It is also not a substitute for the Planning and Design Code, but respondents could be forgiven for assuming that it is, given the profound changes proposed to the former 2013 CLMP.

Noted - Council provides public facilities, furniture and amenities in the Parks Lands.

The intent of the draft CLMP is to note that these facilities, furniture and amenities are secondary to and support the use of the Adelaide Lands.

Development is assessed under the State Government's state-wide Planning and Design Code (not the CLMP). This is a statement outlining that there is an increased level of ambiguity and no limit set to what could be developed in the Adelaide Park Lands.

The Adelaide Park Lands Zone in the Planning and Design Code outlines the requirements and regulates the types and levels of development within the Adelaide Park Lands.

No

5) The draft suggests that the proposed Category "Public facilities, furniture and amenities" is to be influenced by council's Asset Management Plans. But these plans arose during the lifetime of previous CLMPs and the plans' contents were driven and shaped by the rigour of previous CLMP versions. In this draft the new Targets and Measures are proposed to be defined by council guidelines and plans that are not statutory instruments (including the Asset Management Plan itself). Moreover, the management policy is to be driven by administrators in control of those guidelines

Noted - A CLMP sets out Council's approach to using and managing community land on behalf of the community.

Council's approach to managing community land includes asset service standards as defined in its Asset Management Plans.

Since the introduction of a legal requirement to prepare a CLMP, additional changes have occurred including:

 Requirement for 10-year asset management plans to be prepared by Council (arising from Local Government This is a statement outlining dissatisfaction with Council's approach to using Asset Management Plans to influence "Public facilities, furniture and amenities".

Asset Management Plans are the appropriate mechanism to influence asset management and renewal.

and plans, whose interpretation can vary markedly over time. Often the variation is ministerially influenced, given that the APL Act 2005 vests all park lands decision-making power in a state minister. This is a proposal that weakens the rigour of a CLMP, draft or otherwise. It is flawed.	(Financial Management and Rating) Amendment Act 2005). Asset Management Plans are public documents approved by Council.	
6) The proposed Section 10 Category "Sporting use (leases and licences)" is to be measured not by the number of leases or (presumably) sub-leases, as well as licences and their collective terms and conditions, but instead a "range and type(s) of sporting groups and reported through lessee and licensee annual reports." This contrasts long CLMP tradition of referring specifically to the leases themselves, applying to each park or site. This allows a reader of a CLMP to know explicitly what leases already exist for those parks or sites, and sometimes the public access limitations arising from them. (Note, however, that the draft CLMP proposes to abandon any reference to leases –see footnote 6 in this submission.) Moreover, one implied new proposition in this July 2023 draft (which is actually dated April 2023) is that a reader could instead find out this information by accessing lessee and licensee 'annual reports'. This is novel and alarmingly naive, and it is suggested that it is in many cases impossible to do, given that many lessees and licensees don't publish annual reports or at least only publish them for their paid-up members, but in any case rarely	A CLMP is required to provide information on Council's policies for the granting of leases or licences over any part of the Park Lands; and on any arrangements or restrictions to public use. The draft CLMP works alongside the Community Land Register which includes a record of all leases and licenses. Park specific sections identify where the park is subject to a lease or license. A link to the Community Land Register with details of the lease holder and term is provided under Section 3 of the Draft CLMP.	This is a statement outlining dissatisfaction in how the Council will measure Sporting use (leases and licenses). The Councils Adelaide Park Lands Community Land Register provided as a link under Section 3 of the Draft CLMP, provides this information in accordance with section 207 of the Local Government Act 1999 No

reveal lease or licence content in them. The real reason for this peculiar measure is probably because council administrators wish to simply delete specific CLMP references to leases, sub-leases and licences for each park or site (see footnote 6). In the CLMP draft, where specific park or site lease links once existed for sound reasons, they can no longer be found.8 This is a major flaw.

7) The proposed (and new) Category described as "Small-scale commercial use

used is "provision of fit-for-purpose buildings

which offer small-scale commercial activities

that provide community benefit". Firstly, this

discussion of the 'contemplation' concept

is a very unwise 'contemplation' (see

above.) Few respondents to the public consultation will realise that this is a new Category, and council's administrators make no effort in highlighting its novelty. Secondly, some respondents will assume that it has always existed, which is false. Thirdly, a CLMP is not a substitute for the Planning and

Design Code based on 2016 planning legislation featuring a rezoning mechanism, but this is implied under the new Category of "Small-scale commercial use buildings". It would certainly be seen to be the case by planners scrutinising the CLMP. This is

7) The proposed (and new) Category described as "Small-scale commercial use buildings" suddenly introduces an explicit new built-form concept for the park lands. This is contemplation of future temporary or permanent construction of buildings for "commercial activity" purpose. The wording City of Adelaide enables a range of business

purposes on the Park Lands and is therefore required to reflect this in its CLMP.

Development is assessed under the State

Development is assessed under the State Government's state-wide Planning and Design Code (not the CLMP). This is a statement regarding the small scale commercial use buildings'. Language has been updated to 'business' use.

Council's consideration of such uses is considered against the Adelaide Park Lands Lease and License Policy.

The Adelaide Park Lands currently hosts events, cafes, restaurants and small scale kiosks which provide public benefit.

alarming. Fourthly, "commercial activities" in this draft are not defined. Fifthly, neither is "small scale". The word 'kiosk' is mentioned, but the ambiguity could easily see retail outlets or small 'pubs or taverns' or even just 'bars' in the park lands encouraged, capitalising on limited liquor licences. Embracing this level of ambiguity in a CLMP is deeply unwise. Several recreation clubs have already constructed 'club room' pavilions in recent years, in which such liquor-licensed commercial activity is already practised.		
8) In another proposed outcome, management of the Category "Heritage Landscapes and Features" (page 14) is proposed to have targets defined by "State and Local Heritage Listings", but these heritage places are not comprehensively explored in the CLMP site annexures, only by reference to a listings link. A link reference to "Cultural Landscape Assessments" appears only in two places (referring to Parks 7 and 8). There is link to these assessments in this Category that appears as a Target (T8.1, page 14) but the link appears in the middle of a sentence without further explanation, and this is highly unlikely to prompt most readers to explore it. In previous CLMPs this important reference material appeared in various park or site pages, making it obvious that there was a CLMP management-direction emphasis on the relevance of Cultural Landscape Assessments; that they	Noted - The draft CLMP contains a link to the study referenced: The Adelaide and Park Lands & Squares Cultural Landscape and Assessment Study 2006. Individual links to the study can be included in the Park specific sections of the draft CLMP. To assist with navigation of the Cultural Landscape Assessment, the online document will be bookmarked and links included in Park specific information.	The suggested bookmarking in the Administration Comment will be done post endorsement of the CLMP. Yes

were core references. But as is further explored below, this draft CLMP has stripped out the emphasis on the relevance of cultural landscape assessment (which includes heritage matters). It was a reference once critical to the whole of the content of previous CLMPs. And there is yet another procedural flaw recommended. The new proposed monitoring measure in regard to 'heritage landscapes and features' is a management approach to: "Monitor the number of changes that negatively impact on heritage values through reports to Council as required"[emphasis added; see M8.1, page 14]. The theory is good, but who would be required to produce them? Procedurally, its likely effectiveness for public transparency and accountability would be minimal, given that the council is neither legally required to create such reports, nor to follow up any if they were tabled but ignored. Moreover, it is highly unlikely that reports about 'negative impact' would even be publicly revealed by the council, leaving the public in the dark about "negative impact on heritage values".

15. CONSULTATION - PROCEDURAL MATTERS (including:)

- More background as to why council's approach is flawed
- Council's consultation process

15.1 Breach of the Local Government Act 1999, and the secrecy about legal advice

	T	T
This breach was confirmed in the Council Agenda, 9 August 2022, 'Review of Adelaide Park Lands Community Land Management Plan', Item 10.16, which stated: "The review of the existing CLMPs for the Adelaide park lands is beyond the statutory timeframe of five years." That 2022 CLMP draft is similar to the one that has been provided for public consultation 11 months later, in July 2023. That early draft was unwisely approved at a Council meeting on 9 August 2022 and, since then, has been revised again in various iterations while the state government kept introducing new land-use built-form propositions for various policy areas in the park lands zone.	Noted - The Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005 requires a review of the CLMP at least once in every five years. The current review is overdue.	This is a statement regarding Council's legislative requirement to review its CLMP's every five years. The statement does not request amendments to the CLMP. Council acknowledges that the review of its CLMPS is overdue.
Legal advice sought by council on 22 June 2022 and 4 July 2022 (no doubt relating to this breach and other matters relating to the content of this draft CLMP) is not provided for public edification during the current consultation, an early sign of a broad range of matters deliberately excluded from the consultation explanatory draft. This is exploitation of a public consultation function. There is no indication in the July 2023 draft that confidentiality orders have been applied to the breach matter, or any other matter, possibly including receipt of legal advice. But if they have been imposed under LG Act 1999 s90 (3) (h) [legal advice] then as a matter of full consultation transparency the public should be provided with, as a minimum, a summary of that information as	Noted - The reference to legal advice on 22 June 2022 and 4 July 2022 is unclear. There were no Council meetings on these dates.	This is a statement and does not request any amendments to the CLMP. No

part of the consultation, if for no other reason			
than presenting proof that the council's			
determination to reinterpret the Local			
Government Act 1999 to give rise to a			
radically different new CLMP draft has been			
legally sound. It is critical to satisfy a need by			
the public to be comprehensively informed			
under the consultation procedures required			
by the LG Act 1999. This is especially			
appropriate in relation to the council's 2022			
determination to reinterpret the Local			
Government Act 1999 and to capitalise on			
the statutory principles of the Adelaide Park			
Lands Act 2005 to create what is clearly a			
radically different draft CLMP compared to			
the two previous versions.			

15.2 Failure to inform the public of recent April CLMP draft amendments during the July consultation

Consistent with comments noted above about council administration's failure to present to respondents the current (2013) CLMP for 'compare and contrast' purposes, there is additional evidence that procedural failure has also very recently occurred with respect to a draft CLMP which contained very recent (April 2023) text additions to the draft. Something very similar to the draft as we see it in July appeared in a council agenda only three months ago (April), which is accessible online because it appears in a council agenda which is still accessible on the council website.9 In other words, this is an information-rich repository, but the YourSay consultation procedure failed to

Noted - The City of Adelaide is seeking comment on the draft CLMP on public consultation.

This is a statement regarding the contents provided as part of the community consultation package.

The existing CLMPS are publicly available through Councils webpage on the <u>Adelaide</u> Park Lands Community Land Register.

refer to it. The colour-coded additions and amendments noted at the time were not drawn to respondents' attention, and the current version available during the consultation period has seen removal of all of the colour coding, so late amendments are now invisible. Failure to table the colour-coded amendments of April, in July 2023 made it impossible for respondents to perceive the recent draft evolution of the CLMP.			
15.3 Failure to notify the public about related recent new 'General Provisions'			
No reference in the July 2023 YourSay background information is made to 2021 changes of the CLMP's 'General Conditions'. This is a failure of process, because respondents to the public consultation will not know what has occurred relatively recently that has significant relevance to the profound amendments proposed for this CLMP.	Noted - The City of Adelaide is seeking comment on the draft CLMP on public consultation.	This is a statement regarding the contents provided as part of the community consultation package. The existing CLMPS are publicly available through Councils webpage on the Adelaide Park Lands Community Land Register. No	
Given that no existing (2013 version) CLMP is provided for respondents so that they can 'compare and contrast' that version with the new draft, respondents will not know that major changes occurred only two years ago to a section of that CLMP with regard to car parking and access rules. Some more alert readers may be struck by the curious absence of individual park site references to these two matters in the new July 2023 draft. Former CLMPs, including the original 2013 version, addressed car parking and access	Noted - The consultation webpage provided links to the current CLMP and Frequently Asked Questions including the question: 'Why has Council adopted a 'one plan' approach to the CLMP for the Adelaide Park Lands'.	This is a statement regarding the contents provided as part of the community consultation package. The existing CLMPS are publicly available through Councils webpage on the Adelaide Park Lands Community Land Register. No	

issues in some specific site references, but the references were randomly and inconsistently covered. (Some sites had no parking or access policy. This is almost certainly the reason why the council made the changes in 2021 and emerged with a revised 'General Conditions' section.)		
Under the CLMP's 2021 revised 'General Conditions', amenities include car parks and allowances for random fencing for periods not defined, affecting access. Public reference to the relatively new 'General Conditions' (which is part of any park lands CLMP but not mentioned in the 2023 consultation procedure) allows for these in a suitably ambiguous way. Here is selected wording of the 'General Provisions' which was added to the 2013 version CLMP in 2021: • "Car parking — "Provide car parking on an adjacent to the Park Lands only where there is a demonstrated need and there is no reasonable alternative, consistent with the overall aim of the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy to reduce car parking on the Park Lands by 5% by 2025." • "Access — "Maintain public use and movement through the Park Lands. Access may be temporarily restricted during sporting and other events or as a result of necessary maintenance or works."	The City of Adelaide is seeking comment on the draft CLMP on public consultation. The one-plan approach replaces all but the CLMP for Adelaide Oval.	This is a statement regarding the 'General Provisions' that support the current CLMP's. In the draft CLMP car parking in the Adelaide Park Lands is categorised under Public facilities, furniture and amenities at a whole of Park Lands level and not included in each annexure. The management approach to carparking has not changed. Ne

The draft CLMP version of July 2023, as presented in this consultation, not only does not mention the CLMP's recently amended (2021) new 'Chapter 1' 'General Provisions', but also does not flesh out what the draft CLMP's new wording "amenities ancillary to park users" means in conjunction with the 'General Conditions' wording. That wording is clearly deliberate, and so is the ambiguity about future access and car parking. The absence of related discussion during this July 2023 public consultation evidences a woefully poor management of public city council consultation procedure.

The city council has also failed to put this proposal into broader context in regard to 2021 changes in which a new 'Chapter 1' replaced the former 'Framework' of the 2013 CLMP version and 'General Provisions' appeared. No mention appears in this 2023 draft CLMP consultation, but it is critical for respondents to be informed of this detail, because the 'General Provisions' form part of the whole of the CLMP proposed to be the statutory management direction policy instrument for the coming years. The background is that council in 2021 sliced off a part of the 2013 CLMP and updated just that part. No mention of this fundamental change made only two years previously appears in the current July 2023 consultation package. But the changes that the revised 'General Provisions' delivered affect the whole of the content of the current draft version, especially in terms of land-use

contemplations regarding the often controversial car parking and access issues. A detailed retrospective analysis written by the author of this submission appears in Appendix 2 of this submission.

This critique will no doubt elicit a defence by council's administration that the land-use matters have already been addressed and are now 'of the past', but few respondents will know that. In fact, some respondents to this July 2023 consultation may have not participated in that early 2021 consultation phase because it was not brought to their attention. It is again not being brought to their attention now either, but it should be. Access and car parking contemplations are critical – and often controversial – components of any CLMP. This draft CLMP is no exception.

In summary, the critical question that is not addressed is: 'why has all reference to car parking and access disappeared from individual site pages in the proposed draft?'

15.4 Failure of due process (future CLMP amendment and public consultation) with respect to anticipated future government initiatives

There are several sections of this April 2023 draft that indicate that the state government intends to take control of sections of the park lands zone for development purposes, and therefore assume that the sites' tenure (registered proprietorship) of that land will occur through land-acquisition legislation or through city council agreement (commonly

Noted - The draft CLMP reflects current proposals for the management of land.

The State Government has enacted processes for transfer of parts of Frome Park and Council has made the decision in relation to the land transfer. The draft CLMP reflects the decision.

This is a statement in relation to the transfer of land from Council to State Government for development which would result in the CLMP for the area no longer being under the CLMP.

Any land that is transferred to the State Government will be required to have a management plan developed by the State under duress). This would mean that the Government under section 20 of the Adelaide CLMP applying to that land would suddenly Park Lands Act 2005. cease to have lawful management direction No influence. One site is Frome Park (Frome Road, park lands zone). Sections in the April 2023 draft contain statements which imply that when the government chooses the timing, the CLMP will simply and automatically cease to have relevance in those places, without the lawfully required public notification of 'change of CLMP content' and statutory applied notice and public consultation period of 21 days. This is a cavalier proposition indifferent to due legal process, and would be unacceptable. No previous CLMP for the park lands proposed that amendments to it at some future time would be simply processed at the whim of state government ministerial discretion using a land tenure transfer procedure as the trigger mechanism to amend the content of a CLMP, at any future time, without following the necessary steps required under the Local Government Act 1999. For example, with respect to Frome Park, the draft CLMP states "The State Government is currently expanding the Adelaide Botanic High School immediately south of the existing [sic] shown in Figure 13".10 The critical subsequent clause is set in bold) in this extract (same page): "A11.4 Purpose for which the land is held "In addition to the overall purpose for which the Adelaide Park Lands are held (as

outlined in Section 5), the land is held for the purposes of:

- Providing for general and informal recreation for community enjoyment, landscaped in a manner compatible with the adjacent Botanic Gardens and Botanic Park as set out in the Deed of Land Grant when the land was returned to Council by the State Government in 1990.
- Providing a venue for temporary events.
- Providing facilities, furniture and amenities ancillary to park uses.

The piece of land to be transferred to the State Government will be used for educational purposes. Once transferred the land will no longer be subject to this CLMP."

16. OTHER CONTENT FLAWS

- a) General
- b) Park/site-specific flaws

a) General

The proposed contents of this draft CLMP illustrate that its author(s) do not understand how critical is a properly crafted CLMP in its role to guiding management directions of the Adelaide park lands.

Those in charge of this July 2023 CLMP public consultation fail to make clear to respondents that the management Category

Noted - The City of Adelaide is seeking comment on the draft CLMP on public consultation.

Use of community land for business purposes is subject to provisions of the *Local Government Act 1999*. The council cannot approve the use of community land for a

This is a statement regarding the small scale commercial use buildings'. A minor change has been made to the language to 'business' use.

No

purpose for which the Adelaide Park Lands

are held.

Table 1 "Small-scale commercial use buildings" concept is a new Category. They also fail to advise that its specific reference to "commercial use" is not consistent with Strategy 1.4 of the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy 2015–2025, which is currently in operational use. That Strategy talks only of "activation of the park lands by upgrading and enhancing buildings and structures responsive to their park setting" (page19 of the January 2018 version). But there is no explicit reference in that document to initiating approvals to construct future "small-scale commercial use buildings" and it is therefore at odds with the legislation the Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005, which prescribes the content of the APLMS as a statutory policy. Moreover, the recommended April 2023 draft CLMP "measure" to monitor this novel Category is a limp "as reported every five years". That is more than one full council term! The measure is meaningless. But few respondents will comprehend how meaningless it is, and there is no YourSay discussion about it. This is yet another failure of due process required in a public	business purpose contrary to the provisions of a management plan. City of Adelaide enables a range of business purposes on the Park Lands and is therefore required to reflect this in its CLMP. The intent of the draft CLMP is to be clear the scale of commercial use buildings is small.	
consultation.		
b) Park/site-specific content flaws		
1. All parks/sites: The words "Providing facilities, furniture and amenities ancillary to park uses" appears on page references to	Noted - Council provides public facilities, furniture and amenities in the Parks Lands. The intent of the draft CLMP is to note that	This is a statement regarding the use of the Statutory Principles in the Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005 being used to formulate the

these facilities, furniture and amenities are

each park or site (totalling 40) as the 'Purpose for which the land is held'.

Discussion above addresses the vexed (and flawed) issue of council's 'deriving' park lands' purpose from the statutory principles of the APL Act 2005. It does not follow that creating "facilities ... and amenities ancillary to park uses" is the purpose of the Adelaide park lands. The statutory principles do not say that. The Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005 does not say that.

secondary to and support the use of the Adelaide Lands.

The section of the draft CLMP that discusses that 'purpose in which the Adelaide Park Lands area held' has been refined.

Supplementary information has been included to section 5 – which outlines the purpose for which the land is held. The supplementary information is now consistent with the purpose outlined in the current CLMP's.

No

2. Multiple other parks/sites: There are many statements in the draft CLMP stating "Protecting the key Biodiversity Area in this park", but a general link, which is not-sitespecific, only appears earlier in the plan (at Section 10). If there is a specific Biodiversity Area in any given park or site, it ought be explicitly identified in a CLMP, on that park or site page reference. Policy makers and planners must have specific and timely access to such information when reading a CLMP, otherwise they cannot be certain that a future land-use proposal (including a lease proposition) might face an impediment to an 'in-principle' approval on the basis of a special biodiversity area. Explicit and timely objectives also may not be possible, given that, at Section 10, the 'measurement' mechanism is proposed to be satisfied via "quarterly internal reports". Long experience with this council, and its subsidiary APLA, suggests that internal reports are not necessarily always published, especially if they contain information unflattering to the

park lands City of Adelaide custodian. It is

The draft CLMP provides park specific context and static maps with key features for each Park. Administration will explore the ability to convert static maps to the online mapping tool (Community Land Register).

This is feedback requesting that biodiversity information relevant to each park be provided for each park, rather than a general link to the Council's Integrated Biodiversity Plan.

Supplementary information to the existing online maps can be explored by Council to provide these links to each park in the Community Land Register which is publicly available.

Yes,

clumsy and unfriendly in information management terms that a key statutory policy document such as a CLMP ought to put a reader into a position of having to seek release of internal reports. This council has a long-established obsession with secrecy and obfuscation when it comes to releasing documentation relating to its park lands management. Analysis of APLA, Committee or Council agendas over many years suggests that regular and detailed public release of internal reports is highly improbable. Moreover, a CLMP must provide links, and these links should allow immediate access the critical information. This includes timely information. There appears to be no procedure proposed to address this. It is a flaw in the CLMP drafting.

3. Park 2: (Noting again the point made

earlier in this submission, that this CLMP

Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005 because it is not consistent with Park 2's provisions as

version is in breach of section 19 of the

documented in the Adelaide Park Lands

readers of this draft CLMP will not

Management Strategy 2015–25).11 Many

comprehend the import of the draft content

for Park 2 that is attempting to enable this

comprehend that planner-code wording is

park. The Objective for Park 2 reads: "To provide facilities for indoor aquatic, fitness

being used to contemplate future use of this

Appendix 1). They also are unlikely to

breach (as reproduced in this submission in

Under the *Local Government Act 1999*, a management plan should (as far as practicable) be consistent with other relevant official plans and policies about conservation, development and use of the land. In the event of an inconsistency an official plan or policy under another Act prevails to the extent of the inconsistency.

The Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy 2015-25 talks to continuing to enhance the Adelaide Aquatic Centre to meet community expectations; and optimising the role of the Aquatic Centre as a state-wide sporting destination.

This is a statement in regards to the Park 2 – Adelaide Aquatic Centre development and suggests a breach of the *Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005*.

The Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy is currently being reviewed in accordance with the Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005 – the review will include updates which reflect approved State Government developments such as the Adelaide Aquatic Centre.

No

and allied health and ancillary uses." The latter three words are planner code for any use related to aquatic facilities and amenities at the site, now or in the future. Historically, this wording has been used at other park lands sites to justify enabling planning permission for other activities never previously contemplated. For example, such wording was used to justify constructing a \$42m hotel attached to the Adelaide oval stadium, or permission to allow car parking adjacent to the stadium in park lands (Oval No 2 and north park lands adjacent to Pennington Terrace) for collection of related revenues by the Adelaide Oval Stadium Management Authority. The word 'ancillary' was the planning jargon key to achieve these objectives. It spoke 'the right language' to assessors. Council managers of consultation about this CLMP, and this specific site, ought to have provided the Planning and Design Code wording as determined by Plan SA recently, applying to the sub-zone in which the Park 2 development is proposed. The Park 2 Planning and Design Code amendments that were concluded and formally adopted in March 2023 referred to clubs, a gym and shops. An earlier February 2023 analysis by the council noted that one Code amendment aimed to "Introduce additional ancillary land uses such as consulting rooms and offices within the [Park 2] sub-zone."12 Additionally, the associated CLMP proposed measure is stated as "user satisfaction". If a commercial body (or the

State Government has undertaken a Code Amendment under the *Planning*, *Development and Infrastructure Act 2016*, in relation to Park 2 which sets policies for development of the land.

state government) were able to occupy the site and gain revenue from multi-storey occupation of a park lands built-form site under a lease with a peppercorn rent of \$2000 per annum (as is the current proposal), no doubt 'user satisfaction' would follow! But obviously the 'user satisfaction' criterion is meaningless. If the CLMP is adopted, this would be a significant CLMP procedural flaw. The absence of YourSay discussion about this is clearly a consultation procedural flaw.		
4. Parks 3 and 4: Curiously, for these two parks, the wording "There are no parkspecific objectives, targets and measures" appears (see A 3.5), despite the fact that under s196 (3) (d) of the LG Act 1999 requires these to exist and be explicitly stated for all parks and sites in the Adelaide Park Lands Plan with exception to those parks and sites not subject to a CLMP (state government tenure). Moreover, as noted above in detail, some of the proposed objectives, targets and measures appearing in section 10 are in places ambiguous and require substantial clarification.	The draft CLMP identifies a series of Park Land wide objectives, targets and measures which apply to all Parks. These objectives, targets and measure apply to Parks 3 and 4. Where objectives, targets and measures are specific to Parks they are included in the Park specific sections of the draft CLMP.	This is a statement outlining that there are no specific Objectives, Targets and Measures for Parks 3 and 4. There are Park Land Wide Objectives, Targets and Measures in section 10 that apply for each Park including Park 3 and 4. No
5. Parks 9 and 10: Park 9 has recently seen completion of a development project (a new sports pavilion) for the leaseholder (Prince Alfred College), and access restrictions are noted "in the sporting fields/licence areas" on the draft CLMP page. However, no link or explanatory material is provided, so that	Park 9 is subject to a lease. A CLMP is required to provide information on Council's policies for the granting of leases or licences over any part of the Park Lands; and on any arrangements or restrictions to public use.	This is a statement about the need for access restrictions to be listed for the new clubroom development at Park 9 and Park 10. This information is provided in Council's Adelaide Park Lands Community Land

readers of the future CLMP cannot know of or be in a position to fully comprehend the explicit restrictions. A link must take the reader to the contents of the lease and sublease(s) for this Park. Park 10 features University of Adelaide clubrooms. Previous CLMPs provided links to lease details, but this draft does not. It is poor practice that the park lands 'custodian' allows a lease holder that has control over access to avoid providing explicit details of those restrictions. The CLMP is the place to do it. This draft fails that test.

The draft CLMP works alongside the Adelaide Park Lands Community Land Register which includes a record of all leases and licenses.

A link to the Community Land Register with details of the lease holder and term is provided in each Park specific section.

Register which contains details of the lease and licenses.

No

6. Park 11 onwards: From reference to Park 11 and onwards, statements in the draft CLMP begin to appear directing the reader to the 11 Objectives, Targets and Measures that appear at "Section 10" earlier in the draft. However, this is not user friendly, and the result is that the reader has to suddenly jump back to an earlier section of the report and scour 11 Categories for hints as to what related links may or may not apply. Despite evidence of links at Section 10 (with the same links repeated again and again in that section) there are not many links provided in total. The most repeated link is to the Asset Management Plan for the Park Lands and Open Space. However, this major policy document is only occasionally updated by the council and it is likely that the Plan has not been recently refreshed (the Plan does not make this clear). The suggestion is that the writer of this draft CLMP either doesn't know

The park specific sections of the draft CLMP state where the overarching objectives, targets and measures contained earlier in the document apply. There is no link provided back to the early section of the document.

The draft CLMP park specific sections will be updated to provide a link to the overarching objectives, targets and measures contained earlier in the document.

This is a statement outlining that the drafted CLMP is not user friendly in its approach to the Objectives, Targets and Measures not being included in each annexure, and requiring readers to refer back to section 10.

Links back to section 10 have been included in each Annexure.

Yes

Contract to the contract to th		
this, or endorses an approach that sees a		
reader accessing dated material and having		
to wait some time until it is further updated.		
(The original plan is dated 2015, but there is		
no clear online indication of updated material,		
or when it was last updated.) Moreover,		
suggested other links to documents such as		
'condition audits', 'customer satisfaction		
surveys', 'customer request data', 'reports to		
council as required', and 'regular condition		
inspections and audits' illustrates a naïve		
perception of the reality of the council's poor		
transparency culture. In the opinion of the		
author of this submission, based on 24 years		
as a ratepayer and researcher into park		
lands matters, very few of these documents		
are ever published by council. Moreover,		
should the contents of such documents		
embarrass the council, a confidentiality order		
is commonly put into place to keep it from the		
public domain. A reader of the CLMP can		
have no optimism that they will be able to		
access up-to-date and accurate information		
at the click of a mouse when contemplating		
the future of a park lands zone site in this		
CLMP. For this draft to be operationally		
effective, the council would have to resolve		
fresh policy that guarantees public access to		
all of the documentation noted above. A		
commitment to this must be made in writing		
in the draft.		
7. Frome Park: The stated "Purpose for	The draft CLMP reflects current proposals for	This is a statement regarding Frome Park
which the land is held" is ambiguous with	the management of land.	and the State Government ability to trigger
respect to land adjacent to (south of) the		= = ===== = = ========================
, sopest to laria dajasont to joodin oi, ino		

Adelaide Botanic High School, managed in planning terms by a Concept Plan that had statutory effect until very recently. Under major sub-zone rezoning recently (December 2021; brought into operation in January 2022) the Planning and Design Code now allows construction of an expansion of the school onto land within the boundaries of that concept plan, south of the existing school building. A tenure transfer by council to the state was approved by council in October 2022, but appears not to have been progressed at July 2023. While construction of an extension of the school into the subzone is already occurring, the site is already fenced off, and not accessible to park lands users. This licensed 'works' construction land parcel will remain subject to the CLMP until the state government thinks fit, and this is stated in the draft CLMP in this section. The notion that any state government can at whim trigger the removal of land subject to a CLMP from future CLMP reference is novel, and contradictory to Local Government Act 1999 provisions that require express, advanced public notification. It is not apparent in this consultation that this is so; it is simply buried in the content of the draft. Moreover, there is no specifically highlighted amendment of the CLMP, i.e., before and after text wording. Respondents cannot comprehend the change in wording, because the former 2013 CLMP - the one that is current – has not been provided during this public consultation.

The State Government has enacted processes for transfer of parts of Frome Park and Council has made the decision in relation to the land transfer. The draft CLMP reflects the decision.

removal of land from a CLMP for development.

The Frome Park Annexure outlines the approximate extent of the school expansion area.

The State Government has enacted processes for transfer of parts of Frome Park and Council has made the decision in relation to the land transfer. The draft CLMP reflects the decision. Once transferred this land will be under State Government management and will no longer be under the Council's CLMP.

The State Government will be required to develop a Management Plan for the land in accordance with the *Adelaide Park Lands Act* 2005.

No

8. Park 12 onwards: From Park 12 onwards, multiple CLMP references to leases and licences for sporting fields, rowing sheds and commercial hospitality offerings are not accompanied by links. But taxpayers, city ratepayers, policy makers and planners must have specific and timely access to such information when accessing a CLMP, otherwise they cannot be certain that they can freely access a park lands site, or (in the case of planners) whether a future land-use proposal might face an impediment to 'inprinciple' approval because of CLMP 'contemplations' that resist it. The need to provide explicit links to lease particulars also applies to all subsequent park lands sites in the CLMP. It is no use making statements such as "Restrictions apply during programmed sporting times for the club rooms' leaseholders in the sporting fields/licence areas" if a reader cannot access the explicit restriction information. A random visit to such links sites will quickly illustrate how few licence or leaseholders show any commitment to advising visitors about the restrictions. Telepathy appears to be the chosen mechanism. But it should never be the administrative mechanism in a CLMP! Detailed and explicit links once existed in former CLMPs. They should exist in this draft, and every future CLMP.

The park specific sections of the draft CLMP state where the overarching objectives, targets and measures contained earlier in the document apply. There is no link provided back to the early section of the document.

The draft CLMP park specific sections will be updated to provide a link to the overarching objectives, targets and measures contained earlier in the document.

This is a statement outlining that the drafted CLMP is not user friendly in its approach to the Objectives, Targets and Measures not being included in each annexure, and requiring readers to refer back to section 10.

Links back to section 10 have been included in each Annexure.

Yes

9. Park 16: The motor sport racing event returned in late 2022. A more detailed link relating to the 'declared period' under the motor sport legislation must be provided under page reference 'A16.7', given the long period in which it applies, whose perimeter fencing restricts access to many hectares of this park lands site for up to six months.	The draft CLMP notes motor sport racing was re-introduced in 2022. The declared area and period for the motor sport event changes annually.	This is a statement requesting further information about the motor sport event area in Victoria Park. The declared areas changes annually and is therefore not designated in the CLMP.
10. Park 20: That notoriously ambiguous planning term 'ancillary facilities' emerges in relation to this park under the 'Purpose for which the land is held' statement. It should be removed, given (as noted earlier in this submission) its planner-code potential for future undefined facilities. It means nothing to amateur readers, but it has practical and legal meaning for planners who work for adjacent property holders (such as schools) and state policy bureaucrats.	Noted - Council provides public facilities, furniture and amenities in the Parks Lands. The intent of the draft CLMP is to note that these facilities, furniture and amenities are secondary to and support the use of the Adelaide Lands.	This comment relates to the drafted 'Purpose for which the land is held' and how this may be read by planners and developers undertaking works affecting the Adelaide Park Lands. The Planning and Design Code provides the policy for development within the Adelaide Park Lands. No

11. Park 25: Lease holder SACA in late August 2022 received city council permission to erect shade shelters on land in this park that does not comprise land identified in SACA's lease. This is a good example of the use of wording in the CLMP that would allow such things: "providing facilities, furniture and amenities ancillary to park users". If this ambiguous wording is retained in the final CLMP for Park 25 it will be used to justify such development (and any future development) in planning terms that, until the SACA request, was never contemplated in a former CLMP. In fact, the clause should be removed from the CLMP for all parks or sites. Other matter: Leaseholders? In terms of Management Proposals, there is more than one leaseholder occupying this park. Is the draft CLMP reference accurate?

Noted - Council provides public facilities, furniture and amenities in the Parks Lands.

The intent of the draft CLMP is to note that these facilities, furniture and amenities are secondary to and support the use of the Adelaide Lands.

Park 25 is subject to a lease – which is outlined in the Community Land Register

A CLMP is required to provide information on Council's policies for the granting of leases or licences over any part of the Park Lands; and on any arrangements or restrictions to public use.

This is a statement regarding the use of the terms "providing facilities, furniture and amenities ancillary to park users".

The Planning and Design Code provides the policy for development within the Adelaide Park Lands.

A link to the <u>Adelaide Park Lands Community</u> <u>Land Register</u> with details of the lease holder is provided.

No

12. Park 27 B (Mary Lee Park): The draft CLMP 'Management proposal' for the Park Terrace Community Garden states that "fencing will be provided" and that "public access to the fenced community garden may be limited". This is highly ambiguous. Moreover, it illustrates the egregious consequences of the 2021 creation of new wording to the 'General Provisions' section that is a preface to this CLMP (none of which is discussed in this draft but ought to have been). The 2021 amended wording read: "Access. "Maintain public use and movement through the Park Lands. Access may be temporarily restricted during sporting and

Mary Lee Park provides a community garden. The intent of the CLMP is to provide an ability for the community garden to exist and limit broad community access if required.

This is a comment regarding the community garden in Mary Lee Park and refers back to the General Provisions of the existing CLMP's.

Supplementary information has been provided in the draft CLMP to clarify that access may be restricted for security purposes at night but the Licensee must ensure that any gates that restrict public access to the Licence area are unlocked each morning.

Other access restrictions may vary due to unforeseen works or maintenance – it is therefore not possible to provide specific

other events or as a result of necessary maintenance or works." Here is provided evidence of ambiguity piling on to ambiguity. Under the General Provisions, the restriction to access is for a time period unspecified. This gives rise to a CLMP 'contemplation' regarding this park that is as clear as mud.	timeframes in which access maybe temporarily restricted. No
APPENDICES WITH ORIGINAL SUBMISSION	

6 AUGUST 2023 (LATE SUBMISSION)

Verbatim Comments	Administrative Comment	Refinement Recommended
This submission comments on the recommendations of a draft Heritage Management Plan (HMP) commissioned by the city council with consultants Swanbury Penglase, received in February 2023, and circulated by the city council in March 2023 for public comment.	Noted	This is a statement regarding the National Heritage Management Plan – it does not propose amendments to the draft CLMP.
The draft CLMP is flawed because there has been inadequate attention paid during its drafting with respect to that HMP; in particular, the proper alignment between the HMP's recommendations and the draft CLMP, regarding the following matters identified in that HMP. They include the following 5 points listed below.	The Draft National Heritage Management Plan has not been endorsed by Council. Should inconsistencies arise between the National Heritage Management Plan (if endorsed by Council) and the CLMP, as per Section 196(6) of the Local Government Act 1999, the Draft National Heritage Management Plan would prevail over the CLMP. "In the event of an inconsistency between the provisions of an official plan or policy under another Act and the provisions of a management plan under this Act, the provisions of the official plan or policy prevail to the extent of the inconsistency event'. Therefore,	This is a statement outlining concern with the draft CLMP not being aligned to the Draft National Heritage Management Plan. Supplementary information has been included to the draft CLMP to include the National Heritage Values – which are Park Lands wide. No
Review the Park Lands CLMPs and all other master plan documents to ensure that the heritage value of the natural topography is described. Comment: In the draft CLMP there is no heritage value of the natural topography described.	The CLMP should reflect and refer to endorsed policy and management plans. If the Draft National Heritage Management Plan is endorsed by Council, amendments may be required to the CLMP. If future amendments are required to the CLMP, Council will undertake consultation	This is a statement requesting the CLMP and future master plans ensure the heritage value of the natural topography is described. The draft National Heritage Management Plan has not yet been endorsed by Council, the CLMP may be refined once the National Heritage Management Plan is in place.

Verbatim Comments	Administrative Comment	Refinement Recommended
	on amendments in accordance with the Local Government Act 1999 and the Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005.	Ne
 Ensure that master plans and other strategic documents for the City Squares contain objectives for strengthening their rectilinear form and allow for the return of land removed by dissecting roads. Comment: In the draft CLMP not only is there minimal reference to existing park lands master plans (some are simply not mentioned at all), but also the CLMP does not contain objectives for strengthening their rectilinear form to allow for the return of land removed by dissecting roads. 	Master Plans for the Park Lands are referred to in the CLMP, i.e. preparation of a Master Plan for Victoria Park/ Pakapakanthi (Park 16). The implementation of Master Plans and the identification of future Master Planning for the City Squares where there is an opportunity for strengthening their rectilinear form and allow for the return of land removed by dissecting roads are more closely aligned to the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy.	This is a statement about master plans and documents acknowledging the rectilinear form and return of Adelaide Park Lands roads. It does not request an amendment to the CLMP. The master planning of Squares and identifying opportunities for return of Adelaide Park Lands roads to open space is a matter that will be included in the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy Update. No
3. Review the Adelaide Park Lands CLMP to recognise the cultural significance of the nineteenth century spatial designs where these are represented in the Park Lands. Future revegetation zones should be planned to conserve the integrity of the spatial design and aesthetic intent. Comment: In the draft CLMP there is no recognition of the cultural significance of the nineteenth century spatial designs where these are represented in the Park Lands.	The CLMP should reflect and refer to endorsed policy and management plans. If the Draft National Heritage Management Plan is endorsed by Council, amendments may be required to the CLMP. If future amendments are required to the CLMP, Council will undertake consultation on amendments in accordance with the Local Government Act 1999 and the Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005.	This statement requests the CLMP to have greater recognition of the cultural significance of the nineteenth century spatial designs for the Adelaide Park Lands. This information is being addressed through the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy and the National Heritage Management Plan.
Review the Adelaide Park Lands Community Land Management Plan for the Park Lands and City Squares for concurrence with this Heritage Management Plan.	The CLMP should reflect and refer to endorsed policy and management plans. If the Draft National Heritage Management Plan is endorsed by Council, amendments may be required to the CLMP.	This statement requests the CLMP to have greater concurrence with the draft National Heritage Management Plan. The draft National Heritage Management Plan has not yet been endorsed by Council,

Verbatim Comments	Administrative Comment	Refinement Recommended
In the draft CLMP there is no concurrence with this Heritage Management Plan.	If future amendments are required to the CLMP, Council will undertake consultation on amendments in accordance with the Local Government Act 1999 and the Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005.	the CLMP may be refined once the National Heritage Management Plan is in place.
5. Integrate the heritage management guidelines into future CLMPs, lease and licensing agreement, maintenance service contracts, and other management documents. In the draft CLMP there is no integration of the heritage management guidelines with this draft CLMP, which the original text obviously referred to as a "future CLMP"; there is also no integration with any park lands lease and licensing agreement; and no reference to any maintenance service contracts.	The CLMP should reflect and refer to endorsed policy and management plans. If the Draft National Heritage Management Plan is endorsed by Council, amendments may be required to the CLMP. If future amendments are required to the CLMP, Council will undertake consultation on amendments in accordance with the Local Government Act 1999 and the Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005. Lease and License agreements for the Park Lands are provided through Council's online Community Land Register Web Map.	This statement requests the CLMP to have greater concurrence with the draft National Heritage Management Plan. The draft National Heritage Management Plan has not yet been endorsed by Council, the CLMP may be refined once the National Heritage Management Plan is in place. No
Administrators might respond by claiming that when this draft HMP is finalised, the CLMP can be simply amended and updated without public notification and consultation. However, such ad hoc updating is not consistent with the requirements of the <i>Local Government Act 1999</i> , the statute that defines and prescribes CLMP matters. A full and fresh public consultation procedure would be required, with extensive advisory text included relating to the important policy links between a HMP and a CLMP.	If future amendments are required to the CLMP, Council will undertake consultation on amendments in accordance with the Local Government Act 1999 and the Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005.	This is a statement – it does not propose an amendment to the CLMP. It outlines that the CLMP should not be frequently amended to reflect the implementation of the National Heritage Management Plan once endorsed. The draft National Heritage Management Plan has not yet been endorsed by Council, the CLMP may be refined once the National Heritage Management Plan is in place. Consultation on amendments to the CLMP would be undertaken in accordance with the Local Government Act 1999, the Adelaide

Verbatim Comments	Administrative Comment	Refinement Recommended
		Park Lands Act 2005 and Council's Consultation Policy.
		Ne
The obvious point is that, consistent with the {name redacted} submission #1 (dated 30 July 2023) the draft CLMP as circulated at this time is not yet fit for purpose. As concludes in that submission, there are compelling reasons to reject the draft.	Noted - Refinements to the draft CLMP are proposed in response to the consultation and comments seeking to ensure effectiveness and clarity of policy in the CLMP.	This comment is a statement that outlined concerns with the removal of information in the draft CLMP when compared to the existing CLMP. In response, further detail has been included within the body of the CLMP (rather than rely on embedded links) to complement legislative requirements for the CLMP and policy positions for the Adelaide Park Lands. Yes, refer to specific policy refinements.

APPENDIX C – DEPUTATIONS

Respondents to the consultation were invited to make a deputation to a Special Meeting of the City Planning, Development and Business Affairs Committee of the Council on 26 September 2023 to allow Council Members to hear directly from respondents.

This section provides a summary of key points raised by the deputees and a recommendation from Administration to outline where supplementary information in the draft CLMP has been included.

Key Points	Response to feedback
 Concern that the draft CLMP provisions for Park 2 fails meet the requirements of the Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005 and the Local Government Act 1999. The proposed Aquatic Centre for Park 2 proposition is not consistent with the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy. The draft lease for Adelaide Aquatic Centre requires public 	In response to the feedback provided in both the written submissions and deputations, we have undertaken a comprehensive review of the draft CLMP. As a result of the review, the draft CLMP now provides further detail with respect to the following: • An enhanced Introduction acknowledging the iconic status of the Adelaide Park Lands and their significant social and cultural value to the people of South Australia (p. 1).
Consultation. Deputation 2 – Shane Sody The draft CLMP should not accommodate the new Adelaide Agustic Contra	 Additional context for the introduction, Kaurna and cultural heritage significance, purpose for the Adelaide Park Lands and National Heritage Listing (p. 5) An overall purpose of the Adelaide Park Lands statement has been included in Section 5 (5.1) of the draft CLMP (p. 6)
 Aquatic Centre Raised concerns with the location of the new Adelaide Aquatic Centre – 7500 petition against the proposal. The Draft CLMP should be amended to remove that purpose of holding any park is to provide items that are ancillary to park. Ancillary includes anything and everything e.g. a hotel which is ancillary to a sporting arena. 	 In addition to the overall purpose statement, each Annexure includes an updated statement (consistent with the Objective) on the 'Purpose for which the land is held' (e.g. p. 23) Updated illustration of the Management Framework for the Adelaide Park Lands provided in Section 6 (p. 7)

Ke	ey Points	Response to feedback
•	Draft document addresses complex subject that is logical and easy follow Acknowledge conceptual statement that park lands are	 Further detail provided in Section 7 - Key Legislation, under the headings Adelaide Park Lands Act 2005, National Heritage Listing under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (Commonwealth) and Planning, Development and Infrastructure Act 2016 (SA) and Planning and Design Code (SA) (p. 8-10)
•	iconic with national and international. Used and valued with cultural significance. CLMP asks what Council needs to do its role as custodian well – CLMP needs a much closer look. The management of the Park Lands takes place in highly	 Further detail provided within the Objectives, Targets and Measures table relating to Cultural Activities; Biodiversity; Heritage and Landscapes; Sporting buildings and facilities (leases and licences); Park Lands maintenance buildings and small scale business use buildings (p. 15-20)
•	contested political environment. The Adelaide Park Lands Act does not deliver the required contemporary structure to be cooperatively managed despite best endeavours. e.g. SA Gov uses other powers e.g. new Women's and Children's.	 Inclusion of further detail (taken from Desired Future Character Statements in current (2013) CLMPs) within the 'A2.2 Park Context' section of each Annexure (e.g. p.22) Further detail included in each Annexure under Purpose for which the land is held regarding 'Providing public facilities,
•	Growing program of events reduce access for other uses. Other avenues should be looked at to reduce conflict in the management of the Park Lands e.g. form another statutory body under <i>Local Government Act 1999</i> – changes are	furniture and amenities ancillary to park uses to support the use of the Adelaide Park Lands' to ensure consistency with the relevant category in Section 10 - Overarching Objectives, Targets And Measures For The Adelaide Park Lands (e.g p. 24).
•	required if City of Adelaide is to continue as credible custodian. There is a lack of line of sight between CLMP and other plans being developed such as the Victoria Park master plan	Additional feedback we heard from the deputees that is not addressed in the draft CLMP but will be addressed within the revised APLMS includes: • reviewing existing or exploring new funding pathways to provide
•	and Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy. Neither the CLMP or the Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy provide implementation plan, budget and funding options – this should be developed.	 an avenue for further investment in the Adelaide Park Lands; ensuring there is clear line of sight between the CLMP and APLMS collection of data to assist decision making; and

Key Points	Response to feedback
Encourage Council to consider establishing a Foundation to support the ongoing management of the Park Lands	adoption of an implementation plan to inform future budget proposals
 Deputation 4 – Elbert Brooks The existing CLMPs provide greater level of detail and are superior to the draft CLMP. Existing CLMP Chapter 8 (Park 1) content was very informative and is now missing Performance targets in the draft will have unintended consequences Suggested improvements from North Adelaide Society have not been included in the Draft CLMP. Council should compare and contrast the existing CLMP and the proposed Draft CLMP. The existing CLMP should be reviewed and updated and not replaced by the proposed Draft CLMP. Referred to the Coast Park legal issues faced by City of Charles Sturt and suggested that the Park Lands are unique and Council should not be compared to Coast Park. Useful management information is missing from the Draft CLMP, more detail is needed on each park 	The Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy update is well progressed and responds to the matters raised above as well as other strategic goals and priorities for the Park Lands including, but not limited to the following: • an investment framework with pathways for works under the themes of asset renewal, environment & natural systems, community sport, tourism & heritage, and public infrastructure. • exploration of philanthropic contribution models (eg a Foundation) is an identified opportunity to provide an avenue for private investment tailored to improved open space and community outcomes. • specific investment opportunities are explored for each pipeline project.